# PART II

# PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS

### EXHIBIT 6

Grossbaum v. Genesis Genetics Mark Hughes, M.D. February 19, 2009

Genes	s Genetics		February 19, 2005
	Page 1		Page 3
[1]	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	[1]	INDEX
[2]	DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY	[2]	WITNESS DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT
[3]	CASE NO. 07-CV-1359 (HAA) CHAYA GROSSBAUM and	[3]	MARK R. HUGHES, M.D., PhD
[4]	MENACHEM GROSSBAUM, her spouse, individually and as DEPOSITION UPON ORAL	[4]	By Mr. Stein 4 63 By Mr. Hamad 61
[5]	guardians ad litem of the EXAMINATION OF: infant ROSIE GROSSBAUM, MARK R. HUGHES, M.D.	[5]	
[6]	Plaintiffs,	[6]	
[7]	vs.	[7]	
[8]	GENESIS GENETICS INSTITUTE, LLC, of the State of Michigan,	[8]	
[9]	MARK R. HUGHES, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE	[9]	
[10]	and NEW YORK UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL CENTER, both corporations in the	[10]	
[11]	State of New York, ABC CORPS, 1-10, and JOHN DOES 1-10	[11]	
[12]	Defendants.	[12]	
[13]	X	[13]	
[14]		[14]	
[15]	TRANSCRIPT of the deposition of the witness,	[15]	
[16]	matter, said deposition being taken pursuant to Notice,	[16]	
[17]	taken by and before KATHLEEN HAGEN, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New	[17]	
[18]	Jersey, at the law offices of NUSBAUM, STEIN, GOLDSTEIN, BRONSTEIN & KRON, P.A., 20 Commerce	[18]	
[19]	Boulevard, Succasunna, New Jersey, on Thursday, February 19, 2009, commencing at 10:30 a.m.	[19]	
[20]	אמאריד א דין מעניאר אי	[20]	
[21]	PHILIP A. FISHMAN COURT REPORTING AGENCY 89 Headquarters Plaza	[21]	
[22]	4 Speedwell Avenue, Suite 440	[22]	
[23]	Morristown, New Jersey 07960 (973) 285-5331 Fax (732) 605-9391	[23]	
[24]	rax (132) 003-3331	[24]	
[25]		[25]	
ļ			
	Page 2	Direct	- Mark R. Hughes, M.D., Ph.D. Page 4
[1]	APPEARANCES:	[1]	M-A-R-K R. H-U-G-H-E-S, M.D., Ph.D., having offices
[2]	NUSBAUM, STEIN, GOLDSTEIN, BRONSTEIN & KRON, P.A. By: Lewis Stein, Esq. and Lynn Harris, Paralegal	[2]	at Genesis Genetics Institute, LLC, 5555 Conner Avenue,
[3]	20 Commerce Boulevard Succasunna, New Jersey 07676	[3]	A22064, Detroit, Michigan, 48213, called as a witness,
[4]	(973) 584-1400 Appearing on behalf of Plaintiffs	[4]	having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as
[5]	STEPHEN N. LEUCHTMAN, P.C.	[5]	follows:
[6]	23855 Northwestern Highway Southfield, Michigan 48075	[6]	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STEIN:
[7]	(248) 948-9696, Ext. 143 Appearing on behalf of Defendant, Mark R. Hughes, M.D.	[7]	Q Dr. Hughes, as you know, we're here to
[8]	MARSHALL, DENNEHEY, WARNER, COLEMAN & GOGGIN, ESQS.	[8]	take your deposition. I take it that you have
[9]	By: Jay A. Hamad, Esq. 425 Eagle Rock Ave., Suite 302	[9]	previously submitted to a deposition?
[10]	Roseland, New Jersey 07068 (973) 618-4158	[10]	A Yes.
[11]	jahamad@mdwcg.com Appearing on behalf of Defendent, NYU	[11]	Q About how many occasions?
[12]		[12]	A Twice.
[13]		[13]	Q Well, before I ask you about those, permit me to give you some guidelines and instructions,
[14]		[14]	which we should operate under during this question and
[15]		[35]	answer session. First, I should tell you that my
[16]		[16] [17]	questions and your answers are being recorded by the
[17]		[18]	lady who sits to my right and your left, who is a
[18]		[19]	Certified Shorthand Reporter, and if this case goes to
[19]		[20]	trial, what you say here may be used at trial, so you
[20]		[21]	should treat this question and answer session with the
[21]		[22]	same onus as if you were giving testimony in open
[22]		[23]	court, even though we're here in the law office. Do
[23]		[24]	you understand that?
[24]		[25]	A Um-hum, I do.
1201			
L		L	

Grossbaum v. **Genesis Genetics**  Mark Hughes, M.D. February 19, 2009

Texas at this time, is that right?

Direct - Mark R. Hughes, M.D., Ph.D.

- A It's in some limbo state of some type, I don't [2]
- know what it's actually called. I have to continue to [3]
- do CME credits, which I wasn't doing, so they put me in [4]
- some inactive state of some type. [5]
- Q And you have not sought licensure in any 161
- other state, is that correct? 171
- A That's correct. [8]
- Q Well, has your laboratory been referred [9]
- for analysis of genetic materials from NYU, prior to [10]
- the time of the Grossbaum family? [11]
- A Many times. [12]
- Q Can you give me some indication of the [13]
- number, approximately?
- A Certainly 50. [15]
- Q Over what period of time? [16]
- A I don't remember when it started, but through [17]
- now. [18]
- Q Okay. And when you estimate 50, are you [19]
- estimating it 50, including right up to the present [20]
- time? [21]
- A Yes. [22]
- Q Other than the Grossbaums, are you aware [23]
- of any other laboratory studies that you did, that
- resulted in a CF baby being born to a couple?

Direct - Mark R. Hughes, M.D., Ph.D. Page 17

Page 19

- your attention as being born with cystic fibrosis, that
- you did PGD testing on?
- A Four, I believe.
- Q And these four are the four you mentioned? [4]
- Α Yes. [5]
- Q And how many babies would you say you [6]
- tested for cystic fibrosis mutations, in doing PGD
- testing, in total, over the time that you've been doing [8]
- this work? [9]
- A I do not know, but over 1000.
- Is there a particular ethnic group of [11]
- people who have a higher incidence of cystic fibrosis
- mutations than others? [13]
- A Caucasions. [14]
- Q Now, it's reported that the testing for [15]
- the screening testing for the CSF gene is 97 percent [16]
- effective within Ashkanazi Jews, is that correct? [17]
- A Well, it's variable, depending on who's doing [18]
- the testing and how many different mutations they're
- testing for, unless you actually sequence the gene 1201
- entirely, you can't have a perfect test, and even then, [21]
- you don't have a perfect test, but you reduce that [22]
- percentage closer and closer to zero risk of having a [23]
- mutation, the more mutations you test for. So some [24]
- laboratories test for 20, some test for 40, some test [25]

Direct - Mark R. Hughes, M.D., Ph.D.

Page 18

Direct - Mark R. Hughes, M.D., Ph.D.

Page 20

- [1] A Yes.
- Q On how many occasions? [2]
- [3]
- Q Can you tell me when the most recent [4]
- occasion was? 151
- A No, I don't remember the date. [6]
- Q Have any of those four occasions occurred [7]
- since the Grossbaum baby was born? [8]
- A Yes. [9]
- Q Can you tell me how many of those four [10]
- occurred since the Grossbaum baby was born? [11]
- A One, I think, I'm pretty sure. [12]
- Q Okay. And the Grossbaum baby is one, and [13]
- are you suggesting that there were two others, prior to
- the Grossbaum baby being born? [15]
- A I believe so, yes. [16]
- Q Okay. Now, other than these four, I take [17]
- it that -- withdraw the question. [18]
- I take it that the work of doing PGD testing has 1191
- taken place, in your experience, since the date that 1201 you opened Genesis Genetics, when you left Georgetown, [21]
- is that right? [22]
- A Oh, it's been going on since I invented the [23] technology, 19 years ago.
- Q Okay. And how many babies have come to

- for 90, it depends on where the sample is sent.
  - Q Okav. [2]
  - A Now, that's the risk of finding a mutation in [3]
  - the gene. So I'll give you a little biology lesson. [4]
- If you screen the woman, and you don't find a mutation
- with a test that has a 95 percent accuracy, and you
- [6] screened the man, and you don't find a mutation that
- [7] has that, has a 95 percent accuracy, then the chances [8]
- that both of them have a mutation in the 5 percent 191
- become quite small, so their background risk goes from
- [10]
- 1 in 25 that the general population of Caucasians have [11]
- a cystic fibrosis mutation substantially less, so their [12] risk of having a child with CF goes from 1 in 2500 to
- [13] significantly less, but not zero. [14]
- Q Okay. In connection with your work doing [15]
- PGD testing, is the religious background of the subject [1.6]
- parents ever taken into consideration? [17]
- A No, not at all. [18]
- Q And you don't solicit that information, is [19]
- that correct? [20]
- A No, it's -- no, not at all. In fact, I [21]
- sometimes worry it would be illegal to start asking [22]
- personal questions like that for a laboratory, so we [23]
- don't. The only time I find out if a patient, for [24]
- example, is Jewish is that there's a large charity in [25]

Grossbaum v. Genesis Genetics Mark Hughes, M.D. February 19, 2009

Direct - Mark R. Hughes, M.D., Ph.D. Page 35 Page 33 Direct - Mark R. Hughes, M.D., Ph.D. facilitate aborting a CF baby, is that true? don't have their particular DNA? [2] A Yes, yes, we do. [2] MR. HAMAD: Objection to form. Q You get the DNA weeks before? [3] 131 Q Well, what would be the purpose of doing A Oh, yes, sometimes months. [4] [4] an amnio and CVS test? Q And that's based on the blood samples [5] [5] A To find out the integrity of the single cell **[61** vou're sent? [6] testing that we're doing on this project. As a A Yes, or cheek swab samples now. [7] scientist, we have to be monitoring this. If we Q Good. Were they blood samples back in [8] 181 didn't, we would be -- it would be not scientific, and [9] 2004? [9] it would certainly be unethical. [10] A Yes. [10] Q So from your point of view, doing the CVS Q And then you -- from the blood samples, [11] [11] testing or the amnio testing of the fetus is purely for you ascertain the nature and character of their DNA, [12] [12] the scientific confirmation of the validity of your PGD and then you use the formulas to the point that you [13] [13] can, and then you apply a trial and error method to [14] MR. HAMAD: Objection. Mischaracterizing design a test for this particular couple, is that what [15] [15] the prior testimony. [16] you're saying? Q Do you understand the question? [17] A That's correct. [17] A There are many reasons to having a prenatal Q Now, in your page 2 of your pre-case phone [18] [18] test. It's state of the art medical care of review, you mention that you have written, if you [19] [19] obstetrics, and we want to monitor the quality of our follow with me in the third sentence down on the page, [20] [20] data, knowing that it isn't perfect. And we need to and I quote, "You do not need PGD; remember, you can [21] monitor it frequently, and the most frequent we can do just get pregnant and have a prenatal test like CV or [22] [22] it is at a CVS or an amniocentesis stage, so that's amnio, there are great OB's that do it in New York who [23] [23] when we require the testing to be done. What you do [24] could do this for you." [24] with the information from an amnio or CVS is completely A The docs, d-o-c-s, doctors. [25] Page 36 Direct - Mark R. Hughes, M.D., Ph.D. Page 34 Direct - Mark R. Hughes, M.D., Ph.D. up to you, of course, but the test itself has to be-Q Do you recall telling that to the [1] performed, we have it in our informed consents, we talk Grossbaums? [2] about it -- we mention it, and I got no indication I A I don't personally recall saying it, but I'm [3] would have written it down, and I would not have taken sure I did. [4] this case. Q Okay. And did you inquire of them, at [5] [5] Q There are from -- aside from the that time, whether they had any problem with amnio or [6] 161 scientific need for PGD testing validation, the people [73 CVS? [7] involved would see the rationale for that, to determine A There was no indication at any time that they [8] [8] whether they want to continue to give birth to a CV -had a problem with CVS or amniocentesis, because if 191 [9] to a cystic fibrosis baby, wouldn't that be your they had said they wouldn't do that, I wouldn't have [10] [10] expectation? taken their case, and NYU knows that, and so do all the [11] [11] MR. LEUCHTMAN: I object to the form of programs, we -- we must -- we must be monitoring the [12] [12] integrity of this complicated technology more the guestion. [13] [13] MR. HAMAD: I join in that objection. frequently than every 9 months. If a couple says to [14] [14] MR. LEUCHTMAN: Vague, ambiguous, and me, How reliable is what you're doing? And I say, [15] [15] Well, pretty good, but we haven't looked for 9 months, speculative. [16] 1161 MR. HAMAD: I join in that objection. that's not very reassuring, so from the beginning of [17] Do you understand the question? this research project, we have always required that a [18] 1187 A Please repeat it. follow-up prenatal test be performed, and if the [19] [19] MR. LEUCHTMAN: Better yet, rephrase it. patient doesn't want to do that, that's fine, because [20] 1201 When you say you require this testing by we can't take care of them. [21] [21] the CV and amnio testing by the mother of the fetus, do Q Well, I'm sure, in the course of your [22] experience, people have told you that -- well, withdraw you have a discussion that the purpose of your [23] [23] requirement is for -- solely for PGD testing [24] that. [24] The purpose of doing a CVS or amnio is to validation? [25]

Mark Hughes, M.D. February 19, 2009

[14]

[15]

[16]

[17]

Direct - Mark R. Hughes, M.D., Ph.D.

Crossbanm v. Genesis Genetics Page 39

MR. LEUCHTMAN: Wait, wait, wait. Before you answer it, I'm going to object to the form [2] of question, mischaracterizing previous 131 testimony. I don't think he has said that [4] integrity of test results is the sole purpose of [5] amnio or CVS. He has said, in fact, although he [6] hasn't been interrogated about what the other [7] factors are, that it's multi-factorial, that [8] there are a number of reasons why he does it or [9] has that requirement, so the sole aspect of that [10] question mischaracterizes the testimony. [11] Well, let me ask you this. What are the Q [12] 1131

other reasons that you require amnio and CV testing, besides scientific validation of PGD testing? MR. HAMAD: Objection. I think he also

gave another reason, besides scientific validation of testing.

Q I'm asking, what are they? [18]

A From my personal perspective of this project, [19] that's the only reason, but a clinician might have an [20] instruction with the patient about other reasons why [21]

this might be a good idea, as a chromosome abnormality, 1221 because of all kinds of other things that you can find

1231 with those sorts of tests, you can have the right

doctors present at the time of delivery, if there's a [25]

Direct - Mark R. Hughes, M.D., Ph.D. Page 37

mutations in your -- in the entire spectrum of your

testing, how many cases have you had where there was a

misdiagnosis through PGD testing? 131

A There's -- we're not sure about one, so they're [4]

either 12 or 13 over the course of 15 years. We [5]

thought there was 14, but it turns out we could prove a [6] couple got pregnant on their own, so it didn't count,

[7] so it was an error or at least it looked like an error, [8]

but in DNA technology, we can do a DNA fingerprint on [9]

an embryo when we do the test so we know which embryo 1101

made the baby, and we know that the right one was put [11] in, and we know that the baby that they've got wasn't

[12] any of the embryos that they had in the incubator, but [13]

that's happened in the last four or five years of 1141

technology development. Back in 2003-4, that wasn't [15]

available yet. [16]

Q Turning to page 3, there is a line about [17]

two-thirds of the way down the page that starts, "Need **F187** 

to follow up with CV and amnio". Do you see that line? [19]

A Um-hum. [20]

Q There is a circle on that line that has [21]

some letters inside. Can you tell us what those [22]

letters are? [23]

A That says "Evans". [24]

Q What is that, a name? [25]

Direct - Mark R. Hughes, M.D., Ph.D.

Direct - Mark R. Hughes, M.D., Ph.D. Page 38

Page 40

problem that you can head off at the pass, but from a laboratory perspective, our requirement is in order to [2] monitor the data and it's a prerequisite of enrolling [3] in the program. 141

Q Is there any form that you provide the 151 family, in which they sign to agree to do this as a condition of your doing the testing? 17]

MR. LEUCHTMAN: Do what, undergo amnio or CVS?

Q Right. [10]

[8]

[9]

A Well, we talk about the fact that it's [11] necessary, and there's an informed written consent that [12] has it in there, and they sign the consent, and most

[13] patients don't ever bring it up as an issue, so it's [14] just mentioned that you have to have it, and I'm

assuming they're being honest and not deceptive. I [16]

mean, I'm halfway across the country talking to a [17] patient that I wouldn't have to talk to, we go the

[18] extra mile in our laboratory to try to make sure that [19]

everything is on queue, and if a couple is going to be [20] deceptive and dishonest with me, or dishonest, I don't [21]

know which, it's not my position to know, we just [22]

simply are very polite, but we tell them, I'm sorry, we [23]

can't take care of you. [24]

Q Overall, aside from the limitation of CF

A Yes, that's a doctor in New York City who is

world renowned at CFS and amnios, who has the lowest

what -- well, he's as good as it gets, and I don't [3]

remember why I put that in there, but probably, I was [4]

going to recommend, once they're pregnant, that they go [5]

see him, but I don't know why, he's the person I would [6] want them to see. I send other families to them, as

[7] well, but in a place like NYU, they have their own [8]

internal groups, and so that's probably why I have the [9] question mark, because they'll take care of it for the

[10] family separate from me, but if they live in, you know,

[11] some little town in Montana, I need to get them to the [12]

right place, so I try to assist their genetic counselor [13] in doing that.

[14]

Q Now, there's a page in your chart which 1351 lists what appears to be the sequencing category [16]

listing down with numbers 1 to 20 on the left-hand [17]

side. I'll show you the page I have reference to. [18]

A Okay. [19]

Q That looks to me a little bit like a diary [20]

of the various activities that your laboratory will [21]

undertake, from the point of view of initial inquiry [22]

until the baby is born, is that correct? [23]

A Yeah, well, yeah, this has been a table that [24]

we've had for a long time, we have found it is [25]

Grossbaum v.

Mark Hughes, M.D. February 19, 2009

Genesis Genetics	February 19, 2009
Direct - Mark R. Hughes, M.D., Ph.D. Page 57	Direct - Mark R. Hughes, M.D., Ph.D. Page 59
General Approach for Pre-implantation, Genetic Diagnosis of Cystic Fibrosis."  A Okay.  A Okay.  Cand do you recall being aware of that, at the time you did the study for the Grossbaums?  A I don't recall, no.  Cand Cand Cand Cand Cand Cand Cand Cand	Human Reproduction in Embryology, 2003; the article out of Maastricht is copyrighted by the Human it's in the Molecular Human Reproduction, Volume 6, number 5, pages 391 to 396, and the year is 2000.  [19] Q Would that type of testing have reduced the risk of misdiagnosis for these patients?  [21] A If the cause of the misdiagnosis was allele allele drop out, if that was the cause of the problem, and if we had a sample that they would give us that
Direct - Mark R. Hughes, M.D., Ph.D. Page 58	Direct - Mark R. Hughes, M.D., Ph.D. Page 60
Q That can't be done just with the specimens received from the mother and father?  A No, you can look at the markers, but they won't mean anything.	[1] Q Okay.  [2] A If we have a sample, many times, the couple [3] there's no other family members that are available or [4] willing to give samples or the couple's keeping it

- Q Okay. [5]
- A But, you see, the point was that, if we could
- get the embryos that were affected, the cystic fibrosis
- from this couple, we now would have a sample that would
- have the mutation or not have the mutation, based on
- these data, based on the sequencing data, and we could [10]
- then do that kind of thing for this family, which would [11]
- be if they had to go through this another time, we
- [12]
- would then try to develop a better test, using those [13]
- genomic markers. [14]
- Q On the same subject, were you aware of a
- published article titled, "Improving Clinical [16]
- Pre-Implantation Genetic Diagnosis for Cystic Fibrosis [17]
- by Duplex PCR Using Two Polymorphic Markers or One [18]
- Polymorphic Marker in Combination with the Detection of [19]
- Delta F508 Mutation"? [20]
- A I read lots of papers, we're perfectly aware of [21]
- all of that technology.
- Q That was by Dr. Goosens, G-o-o-s-e-n-s, at 1231
- the Center for Medical Genetics at the University
- Hospital & Medical School of Brussels University, are

- 60
- or
- quiet from other members of the family or whatever, but
- there's reasons why you can't get other samples. 161
- Q Well, did you try to get it from these, [7]
- this couple, the Grossbaums? [8]
- A These technologies were not in routine clinical [9]
- care, at that time. We were doing them in an attempt [10]
- to bring them into our laboratory, because we agreed [11]
- that it looked like this would improve the technology, [12]
- and with every year, the technology improves, and to
- [13] measure what we would do in early 2004 with what we
- [14] could do in 2008 is not reasonable. We -- we would do
- [15]
- genotyping, we've been doing that kind of genotyping [16]
- for years, when you have the proper samples. [17]
- Q Did you ask for the samples of the [18]
- Grossbaums? [19]
- A I believe we did. [20]
- Q And you didn't get them? [21]
- A I believe. I believe they didn't want to give [22]
- them to us, but I can't be sure. [23]
- Q Is there anything in your records to [24]
- document that? [25]

### EXHIBIT 7

1 11:00:35 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY 3 CHAYA GROSSBAUM and MENCHEN 4 GROSSBAUM, Her Spouse, Individually, and as Guardian ad litem of the Infant, ROSIE 5 GROSSBAUM, 6 Plaintiffs, 7 Index No. 07-CV-359 8 -against-9 GENESIS GENETICS INSTITUTE, LLC, OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, MARK R. 10 HUGHES, M.D., NEW YORK UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE, and NEW YORK 11 UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS CENTER, both Corporations of the State of New York, 12 ABC CORPORATIONS: 1-10 and John Doe 13 Defendants. 14 15 132-26 Conduit Avenue Jamaica, New York 16 May 4, 2010 17 10:30 a.m. 18 19 DEPOSITION of CHARLES STROM, M.D., PhD., an expert witness on behalf of the Plaintiff 20 herein, taken by the Defendants pursuant to Article 31 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules 21 of Testimony, and Notice, held at the above-mentioned time and place before 22 Valerie Cannata, Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New York. 23 24 25

F			
	2		4
1.	AAAA	1	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.
2. APPEARANCE		2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: My name is 11:00:38
3 NUSBAUM, STEIN, GOLDSTE BRONSTEIN & KRON, P.A.	EIN	3	Wayne Saline of Veritext. The date today 11:00:39
4 Attorneys for Plaintiffs		4	is May 4, 2010. The time is approximately 11:00:43
5 20 Commerce Boulevard		5	11:00. This deposition is being held at the 11:00:45
Succasunna, New Jersey 6	07876	6	
BY: LEWIS STEIN, ESQ.			Sheraton JFK located at 132-26 South 11:00:49
7 BY: LYNN HARRISON, P	ARALEGAL	7	Conduit Avenue, Jamaica, New York. 11:00:54
8 9 TROWBRIDGE LAW FIRM	reference and the second secon	8	The caption of this case is Chaya 11:00:57
Attorneys for Defendants		9	Grossbaum and Menchen Grossbaum, her 11:01:01
10 Genesis Genetics Institut And Mark R. Hughes, M.I		10	spouse individually and as guardians 11:01:05
11	· .	11	ad litem of the infant, Rosle Grossbaum, 11:01:09
1380 East Jefferson Aver		12	in the United States District Court of 11:01:10
12 Detroit, Michigan 48207 13 BY: STEPHEN LEUCHTM		13	the District of New Jersey, docket 11:01:13
14 BI: STEPHEN LEOCHIM	,	14	number 07 CV 359. The name of the 11:01:15
15 MARSHALL, DENNEHEY, WA	RNER	15	Witness is Dr. Charles Strom. 11:01:19
COLEMAN & GOGGIN  16 Attorneys for Defendants	;	16	At this time, the Attorneys will 11:01:21
New York University Sch	ool of	17	introduce themselves and the parties 11:01:21
17 Medicine and New York I	Iniversity	18	they represent, after which our Court 11:01:24
Hospitals Center 18	To the second se	19	Reporter, Valerie Cannata, of Veritext 11:01:28
425 Eagle Rock Avenue,		20	will swear in the Witness and we can 11:01:31
19 Roseland, New Jersey 0 20 BY: JAY A. HAMAD, ESQ		21	proceed. 11:01:32
21	· Introduction	22	MR. LEUCHTMAN: Stephen Leuchtman, 11:01:34
22 ALSO PRESEN 23	T	23	taking the deposition today on behalf of 11:01:36
WAYNE SALINE, VIDEOGRAPH	IER	24	- '
24 VERITEXT, LLC	1	25	•
25 STANLEY DICKSON, GENESIS	GENETICS	~~~	Also with me is Stanley Dickson, an officer 11:01:41
	3		5
1 STIPULAT	ONS	1	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.
2 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED	by and between	2	in Genesis Genetics. 11:01:44
3 the attorneys for the respective	parties hereto that:	3	MR. HAMAD: Jay Hamad of the Law 11:01:46
4 All rights provided by the	C.P.L.R. and Part 221 of the	4	Firm of Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, 11:01:46
5 Uniform Rules for the Conduct	of Depositions, including the right	5	Coleman and Goggin. I'm on behalf of 11:01:48
6 to object to any question, excep	ot as to form, or to move to strike any	6	N.Y.U. Defendants. 11:01:49
7 testimony at this examination is	i	7	MR. STEIN: Lewis Stein; Nusbaum, 11:01:50
•	or to move to strike any testimony	8	Stein, Goldstein, Bronstein and Kron on 11:01:53
9 at this examination shall not be	,	9	behalf of the Plaintiffs and before we swear 11:01:56
10 motion at, and is reserved to, ti		10	the Witness, I'd just like to confirm on the 11:01:59
'	worn to by the witness being	11	record a conversation I had with Counsel for 11:02:03
, ,	c other than the Notary Public before	12	N.Y.U. that Dr. Strom having offered his 11:02:05
•	gun, but the failure to do so or to	13	opinion letter in the case did not mention 11:02:10
·	•		any standard of care issues as to N.Y.U. He 11:02:12
,	ition to counsel, shall not be deemed	14	
	by Rule 3116 of the C.P.L.R., and	15	will not be offering any testimony regarding 11:02:15
16 shall be controlled thereby.	Call Lands S. C. S.	16	standard of care of N.Y.U. or members 11:02:18
	of this deposition is waived.	17	of the N.Y.U. community in connection 11:02:22
18 IT IS FURTHER STIPULA		18	with this deposition. 11:02:23
19 examination shall be furnished		19	CHARLES STROM, M.D. PhD., the 11:02:36
20 being examined without charge	-	20	Witness herein, having first been duly
21		21	sworn by a Notary Public of the State of
22		22	New York, was examined and testified as
23		23	follows:
24		24	THE REPORTER: What is your full
25	Translation .	25	name?
<b>-</b>		وبے	Hanc:

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

				24
	22			. 24
1	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.	1.	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.	
2	center. 11:21:41	2	<ul> <li>A. Because my teaching</li> </ul>	11:24:10
3	O. Who was your boss? 11:21:41	3	responsibilities are different than my	11:24:11
4	A. Dr. Beverly White. 11:21:42	4	lecturing. 11:	24:14
5	A. Dr. bevery visites	5	Q. Okay.	:24:15
6	Q. During that period of time, and 11:21:47  Quest do P.G.D.? 11:21:47	6	<ul> <li>A. I lecture around the country</li> </ul>	11:24:16
7	Quest do 7.0.0	7	and often give talks on preimplantation	11:24:19
8	70 700 11 11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8		:24:23
9	Q. How did your responsibilities 11:21:30 change when in June of 2002 you became 11:22:00	9	Q. In a university setting, your	11:24:23
1	the medical director of the genetic testing 11:22:04	10	teaching is less than five percent of P.G.	D.? 11:24:26
10	the inedical director of the generic teating	11	A. Yes. 11:	24:31
11	center? 11:22:10  A. That was a promotion, so I got 11:22:10	12	Q. How much time do you spend	11:24:32
12	my boss's job and she retired. Actually, she 11:22:12	13	going around the country lecturing abou	t P.G.D., 11:24:34
13	my boss's job and she retired. Accounty and	14		:24:36
1.4	reported to the for a pariod or sixton	15	A. About two or three percent of	11:24:36
15	Q. During that period of arms, and	1.6		1:24:38
16	you do any 1,0.0. or did one day.	17	Q. Was this true in 2004?	11:24:39
17	A. No.	18	A. Probably more so in 2004.	11:24:44
18	Q. 30 you have not had hands on	19	Q. How much more so?	11:24:47
19	experience of directorial experience	20	A. I don't know.	11:24:49
20	hieribiancaron deneres gradutors anna-	21	O. Less than ten percent?	11:24:50
21	October, 2002, or before:	22	•	11:24:51
22	A. macs concect	23	Q. Less than 25?	11:24:52
23	Q. Itting jou that was daring an	24	A. I don't know. I just don't	11:24:54
24	today, have you had teaching responsibilities at 11:22:53	25	remember.	11:24:56
25	any university:	<u> </u>		25
	23			2.0
1	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.	1	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.	
2	A. I teach I have a faculty 11:22:58	2	<ul><li>Q. Well, give me a ballpark</li></ul>	11:24:57
] з	appointment at U.C.S.D. I teach intermittently 11:22:59	3	estimate, not a guess.	11:24:58
4	there and I also obviously give lectures across 11:23:06	4	A. I'm sorry, I just don't	11:24:59
5	the country. 11:23:09	5	remember.	11:25:01
6	Q. What do you teach at U.C.S.D.? 11:23:10	6	Q. Mc un.	11:25:01
7	A. Everything genetics. 11:23:12	7	<ol> <li>At all. It's a long time ago,</li> </ol>	11:25:02
8	Q. Does this touch on P.G.D.? 11:23:15	8	for me.	11:25:06
9	A. Sometimes. 11:23:18	9	Q. So you probably don't rememb	
10	Q. What percentage of your 11:23:29	10	the standard of care for preimplantation	
11	teaching responsibilities involve preimplantation 11:23:31	11	genetic diagnosis back in 2004 either?	
12	genetic diagnosis? 11:23:34	12	A. I remember it very well.	11:25:18
13	A. Probably less than five 11:23:34	13	Q. But you don't remember how	
14	percent. 11:23:36	14	of your lecture time was devoted to P	
15	Q. So it's fair to say that during 11:23:41	15	A. No, I don't remember.	11:25:26
16	the time that this case unfolded, which is in 11:23:43	16	Q. Was it less than half then?	11:25:27
17	early 2004, primarily, you were not 11:23:49	17	A. I don't remember.	11:25:31
18	involved either as a director of a P.G.D. 11:23:54	18	Q. When you went to Quest	11:25:34
19	lab, hands-on with P.G.D. or teaching 11:23:58	19	initially, what were your responsibilities	
20	P.G.D. to any significant extent? 11:24:03	20	think you started to tell me.	11:25:37
21	MR. STEIN: Objection to the 11:24:05	21	A. I told you.	11:25:39
22	11.74.06	22	Q. You did tell me. All right.	11:25:39
23	44.74.07	23	What are they now?	11:25:41
11. "		24	<ul> <li>A. Now I oversee all of the</li> </ul>	11:25:42
24	anyway. 11:24:09	1	genetic testing that goes on in San Ju	an 11:25:47

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

	38		40
1	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.	1	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.
2	Thornhill wrote that article, we had already 11:40:15	2	Q. Well, it took eight years to 11:42:24
3	published several articles in the '90s on 11:40:18	3	develop it from the time it was first 11:42:27
4	multiplex P.C.R. 11:40:22	4	theoretically suggested? 11:42:28
5	Q. We being whom? 11:40:22	5	A. It took eight years, I would say, 11:42:28
6	A. Reproductive Genetics 11:40:22	6	to perfect it. Things don't happen overnight. 11:42:30
7	Institute. 11:40:28	7	Q. That's true, particularly in 11:42:38
8	Q. Do you believe that a scientist 11:40:28	8	the area of genetic marker testing? 11:42:41
9	such as yourself or Dr. Mark Hughes has a 11:40:28	9	A. That's right. 11:42:46
10	responsibility to examine and test out ideas 11:40:35	10	Q. So what were the well, 11:42:47
11	and concepts that appear in the literature and 11:40:35	11	describe, I guess, since you say there were no 11:42:47
12	not just blindly accept that them? 11:40:41	12	obstacles that had to be overcome, describe the 11:42:50
13	A. Of course. 11:40:43	13	eight-year development. What bumps were 11:42:55
14	Q. And do you agree that by 11:40:43	14	there in the road, what? 11:42:57
15	characterizing, again, P.G.D. with duplex 11:40:44	1.5	A. Initially we first discovered 11:42:57
16	P.C.R. as improving, the authors of the article, 11:40:47	1.6	that allele dropout could be a cause of 11:43:01
17	Goosens, et. al., acknowledge that duplex testing 11:40:52	17	misdiagnosis in preimplantation genetic 11:43:01
18	with genome markers was still evolving? 11:40:55	18	diagnosis and that happened in the early 11:43:05
19	A. No. 11:41:00	19	years between 1990, 1992. Once first 11:43:07
20	Q. You think it was settled 11:41:00	20	we investigated and found out that was 11:43:10 the most likely cause of what was 11:43:13
21	science at that time? 11:41:02	21	the most meny cause of the
22	A. I think the concept of 11:41:02	22	happening. Then we had to investigate it 11:43:15
23	multiplex P.C.R. for the detection of 11:41:04	23	in detail, which meant that we had to get 11:43:18 skin bionsies, which were removing skin 11:43:22
24	allele dropout was well established by 11:41:12	24 25	skin biopsies, which were removing skin 11:43:22 from carriers of patients with genetic 11:43:26
25	the year 2000 when I left R.G.I. Other 11:41:18	23	Hom remains or bancies and denote TT-12750
		1	
	39		41
1		1	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.
1 2	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.	1 2	
1 2 3	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. people began instituting them in their 11:41:23	1	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30 culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34
2	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  people began instituting them in their 11:41:23 own programs at that point and the 11:41:25	2	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30
2	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  people began instituting them in their 11:41:23 own programs at that point and the 11:41:25	2 3	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30 culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34
2 3 4	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  people began instituting them in their 11:41:23 own programs at that point and the 11:41:25 Thornhill report was what he had 11:41:28	2 3 4	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30 culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34 them to a variety of testing to determine 11:43:36 what was the rate of allele dropout seen 11:43:39 in those cells. 11:43:42
2 3 4 5	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  people began instituting them in their own programs at that point and the Thornhill report was what he had implemented.  11:41:28	2 3 4 5	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30 culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34 them to a variety of testing to determine 11:43:36 what was the rate of allele dropout seen 11:43:39 in those cells. 11:43:42 When we established that allele 11:43:44
2 3 4 5 6	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. people began instituting them in their own programs at that point and the Thornhill report was what he had implemented.  Q. Where is Thornhill based?  11:41:23  11:41:31  11:41:32	2 3 4 5 6	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30 culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34 them to a variety of testing to determine 11:43:36 what was the rate of allele dropout seen 11:43:39 in those cells. 11:43:42 When we established that allele 11:43:44 dropout was a recurrent phenomenon, then we 11:43:46
2 3 4 5 6 7	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. people began instituting them in their own programs at that point and the Thornhill report was what he had implemented. Q. Where is Thornhill based? A. I don't know.  11:41:23 11:41:34 11:41:34	2 3 4 5 6 7	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30 culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34 them to a variety of testing to determine 11:43:36 what was the rate of allele dropout seen 11:43:39 in those cells. 11:43:42 When we established that allele 11:43:44 dropout was a recurrent phenomenon, then we 11:43:46 had to figure out a way to overcome it and the 11:43:50
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. people began instituting them in their own programs at that point and the Thornhill report was what he had implemented. Q. Where is Thornhill based? A. I don't know. Q. Is he in the United States?  11:41:35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30  culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34  them to a variety of testing to determine 11:43:36  what was the rate of allele dropout seen 11:43:39  in those cells. 11:43:42  When we established that allele 11:43:44  dropout was a recurrent phenomenon, then we 11:43:46  had to figure out a way to overcome it and the 11:43:50  way that turned out to be — there were two ways 11:43:54
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  people began instituting them in their own programs at that point and the 11:41:25  Thornhill report was what he had 11:41:28 implemented. 11:41:31  Q. Where is Thornhill based? 11:41:32  A. I don't know. 11:41:34  Q. Is he in the United States? 11:41:35  A. No. I think he's in Australia? 11:41:37	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30  culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34  them to a variety of testing to determine 11:43:36  what was the rate of allele dropout seen 11:43:39  in those cells. 11:43:42  When we established that allele 11:43:44  dropout was a recurrent phenomenon, then we 11:43:46  had to figure out a way to overcome it and the 11:43:50  way that turned out to be — there were two ways 11:43:54  that we eventually evolved to limit the 11:43:57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. people began instituting them in their own programs at that point and the 11:41:25 Thornhill report was what he had 11:41:28 implemented. 11:41:31 Q. Where is Thornhill based? 11:41:32 A. I don't know. 11:41:34 Q. Is he in the United States? 11:41:35 A. No. I think he's in Australia? 11:41:37 Australia or England. He speaks with an 11:41:41 accent. 11:41:47 Q. Over what course of time did 11:41:47	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30  culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34  them to a variety of testing to determine 11:43:36  what was the rate of allele dropout seen 11:43:39  in those cells. 11:43:42  When we established that allele 11:43:44  dropout was a recurrent phenomenon, then we 11:43:46  had to figure out a way to overcome it and the 11:43:50  way that turned out to be — there were two ways 11:43:54  that we eventually evolved to limit the 11:43:57  misdiagnosis due to allele dropout and the first 11:44:01
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. people began instituting them in their own programs at that point and the 11:41:25 Thornhill report was what he had 11:41:28 implemented. 11:41:31 Q. Where is Thornhill based? 11:41:32 A. I don't know. 11:41:34 Q. Is he in the United States? 11:41:35 A. No. I think he's in Australia? 11:41:37 Australia or England. He speaks with an 11:41:41 accent. 11:41:47 Q. Over what course of time did 11:41:47 testing using genetic markers develop? 11:41:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30  culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34  them to a variety of testing to determine 11:43:36  what was the rate of allele dropout seen 11:43:39  in those cells. 11:43:42  When we established that allele 11:43:44  dropout was a recurrent phenomenon, then we 11:43:46  had to figure out a way to overcome it and the 11:43:50  way that turned out to be — there were two ways 11:43:54  that we eventually evolved to limit the 11:43:57  misdiagnosis due to allele dropout and the first 11:44:01  was the use of intragenic linked markers. 11:44:03
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 - 9 10 11	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. people began instituting them in their own programs at that point and the 11:41:25 Thornhill report was what he had 11:41:28 implemented. 11:41:31 Q. Where is Thornhill based? 11:41:32 A. I don't know. 11:41:34 Q. Is he in the United States? 11:41:35 A. No. I think he's in Australia? 11:41:37 Australia or England. He speaks with an 11:41:41 accent. 11:41:47 Q. Over what course of time did 11:41:47 testing using genetic markers develop? 11:41:50 A. It was over the period of about 11:41:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30 culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34 them to a variety of testing to determine 11:43:36 what was the rate of allele dropout seen 11:43:39 in those cells. 11:43:42  When we established that allele 11:43:44 dropout was a recurrent phenomenon, then we 11:43:46 had to figure out a way to overcome it and the 11:43:50 way that turned out to be — there were two ways 11:43:54 that we eventually evolved to limit the 11:43:57 misdiagnosis due to allele dropout and the first 11:44:01 was the use of intragenic linked markers. 11:44:03 We developed the linked intragenic 11:44:03
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  people began instituting them in their own programs at that point and the 11:41:25  Thornhill report was what he had 11:41:28  implemented. 11:41:31  Q. Where is Thornhill based? 11:41:32  A. I don't know. 11:41:34  Q. Is he in the United States? 11:41:35  A. No. I think he's in Australia? 11:41:37  Australia or England. He speaks with an accent. 11:41:47  Q. Over what course of time did 11:41:47  testing using genetic markers develop? 11:41:50  A. It was over the period of about 11:41:52  1992 until 2000 in my laboratory when we 11:41:54	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30  culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34  them to a variety of testing to determine 11:43:36  what was the rate of allele dropout seen 11:43:39  in those cells. 11:43:42  When we established that allele 11:43:44  dropout was a recurrent phenomenon, then we 11:43:50  way that turned out to be — there were two ways 11:43:54  that we eventually evolved to limit the 11:43:57  misdiagnosis due to allele dropout and the first 11:44:01  was the use of intragenic linked markers. 11:44:03  Me developed the linked intragenic 11:44:03  markers and we then had to develop the assays. 11:44:09
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. people began instituting them in their own programs at that point and the 11:41:25 Thornhill report was what he had 11:41:28 implemented. 11:41:31 Q. Where is Thornhill based? 11:41:32 A. I don't know. 11:41:34 Q. Is he in the United States? 11:41:35 A. No. I think he's in Australia? 11:41:37 Australia or England. He speaks with an accent. 11:41:47 Q. Over what course of time did 11:41:47 testing using genetic markers develop? 11:41:50 A. It was over the period of about 11:41:52 1992 until 2000 in my laboratory when we actively and intensively investigated the 11:42:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30  culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34  them to a variety of testing to determine 11:43:36  what was the rate of allele dropout seen 11:43:39  in those cells. 11:43:42  When we established that allele 11:43:44  dropout was a recurrent phenomenon, then we 11:43:50  way that turned out to be — there were two ways 11:43:54  that we eventually evolved to limit the 11:43:57  misdiagnosis due to allele dropout and the first 11:44:01  was the use of intragenic linked markers. 11:44:03  Me developed the linked intragenic 11:44:03  markers and we then had to develop the assays. 11:44:09  We had to optimize them to work on single 11:44:16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  people began instituting them in their own programs at that point and the 11:41:25  Thornhill report was what he had 11:41:28  implemented. 11:41:31  Q. Where is Thornhill based? 11:41:32  A. I don't know. 11:41:34  Q. Is he in the United States? 11:41:35  A. No. I think he's in Australia? 11:41:37  Australia or England. He speaks with an accent. 11:41:47  Q. Over what course of time did 11:41:47  testing using genetic markers develop? 11:41:50  A. It was over the period of about 11:41:52  1992 until 2000 in my laboratory when we actively and intensively investigated the role of link markers in predicting and 11:42:04	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30  culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34  them to a variety of testing to determine 11:43:36  what was the rate of allele dropout seen 11:43:39  in those cells. 11:43:42  When we established that allele 11:43:44  dropout was a recurrent phenomenon, then we 11:43:50  way that turned out to be — there were two ways 11:43:54  that we eventually evolved to limit the 11:43:57  misdiagnosis due to allele dropout and the first 11:44:01  was the use of intragenic linked markers. 11:44:03  Me developed the linked intragenic 11:44:03  markers and we then had to develop the assays. 11:44:09  We had to optimize them to work on single 11:44:16  cells. Then we had to find patients who had 11:44:20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  people began instituting them in their own programs at that point and the 11:41:25  Thornhill report was what he had 11:41:28  implemented. 11:41:31  Q. Where is Thornhill based? 11:41:32  A. I don't know. 11:41:34  Q. Is he in the United States? 11:41:35  A. No. I think he's in Australia? 11:41:37  Australia or England. He speaks with an accent. 11:41:47  Q. Over what course of time did testing using genetic markers develop? 11:41:50  A. It was over the period of about 11:41:52  1992 until 2000 in my laboratory when we actively and intensively investigated the role of link markers in predicting and intentifying allele dropout. 11:42:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30  culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34  them to a variety of testing to determine 11:43:36  what was the rate of allele dropout seen 11:43:39  in those cells. 11:43:42  When we established that allele 11:43:44  dropout was a recurrent phenomenon, then we 11:43:50  way that turned out to be — there were two ways 11:43:54  that we eventually evolved to limit the 11:43:57  misdiagnosis due to allele dropout and the first 11:44:01  was the use of intragenic linked markers. 11:44:03  We developed the linked intragenic 11:44:03  markers and we then had to develop the assays. 11:44:16  cells. Then we had to find patients who had 11:44:20  those particular high markers and then we 11:44:24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  people began instituting them in their own programs at that point and the 11:41:25  Thornhill report was what he had 11:41:28  implemented. 11:41:31  Q. Where is Thornhill based? 11:41:32  A. I don't know. 11:41:34  Q. Is he in the United States? 11:41:35  A. No. I think he's in Australia? 11:41:37  Australia or England. He speaks with an accent. 11:41:47  Q. Over what course of time did testing using genetic markers develop? 11:41:50  A. It was over the period of about 11:41:52  1992 until 2000 in my laboratory when we actively and intensively investigated the role of link markers in predicting and identifying allele dropout. 11:42:08  Q. That's an eight-year spread. 11:42:11	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30  culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34  them to a variety of testing to determine 11:43:36  what was the rate of allele dropout seen 11:43:39  in those cells. 11:43:42  When we established that allele 11:43:44  dropout was a recurrent phenomenon, then we 11:43:50  way that turned out to be — there were two ways 11:43:54  that we eventually evolved to limit the 11:43:57  misdiagnosis due to allele dropout and the first 11:44:01  was the use of intragenic linked markers. 11:44:03  Me developed the linked intragenic 11:44:03  markers and we then had to develop the assays. 11:44:16  cells. Then we had to find patients who had 11:44:20  those particular high markers and then we 11:44:24  had to develop multiplex P.C.R. in order 11:44:27
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. people began instituting them in their own programs at that point and the 11:41:25 Thornhill report was what he had 11:41:28 implemented. 11:41:31 Q. Where is Thornhill based? 11:41:32 A. I don't know. 11:41:34 Q. Is he in the United States? 11:41:35 A. No. I think he's in Australia? 11:41:37 Australia or England. He speaks with an accent. 11:41:47 Q. Over what course of time did testing using genetic markers develop? 11:41:50 A. It was over the period of about 11:41:52 1992 until 2000 in my laboratory when we actively and intensively investigated the role of link markers in predicting and identifying allele dropout. 11:42:04 Let me ask you this: During that eight 11:42:11 Let me ask you this: During that eight 11:42:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30  culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34  them to a variety of testing to determine 11:43:36  what was the rate of allele dropout seen 11:43:39  in those cells. 11:43:42  When we established that allele 11:43:44  dropout was a recurrent phenomenon, then we 11:43:50  way that turned out to be — there were two ways 11:43:54  that we eventually evolved to limit the 11:43:57  misdiagnosis due to allele dropout and the first 11:44:01  was the use of intragenic linked markers. 11:44:03  markers and we then had to develop the assays. 11:44:09  We had to optimize them to work on single 11:44:16  cells. Then we had to find patients who had 11:44:20  those particular high markers and then we 11:44:27  to look at all of them at once and that was a 11:44:32
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. people began instituting them in their own programs at that point and the 11:41:25 Thornhill report was what he had 11:41:28 implemented. 11:41:31 Q. Where is Thornhill based? 11:41:32 A. I don't know. 11:41:34 Q. Is he in the United States? 11:41:35 A. No. I think he's in Australia? 11:41:37 Australia or England. He speaks with an accent. 11:41:47 Q. Over what course of time did 11:41:47 testing using genetic markers develop? 11:41:50 A. It was over the period of about 11:41:52 1992 until 2000 in my laboratory when we actively and intensively investigated the role of link markers in predicting and identifying allele dropout. 11:42:08 Q. That's an eight-year spread. 11:42:11 Let me ask you this: During that eight years, what factors slowed the development of 11:42:15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30 culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34 them to a variety of testing to determine 11:43:36 what was the rate of allele dropout seen 11:43:39 in those cells. 11:43:42  When we established that allele 11:43:44 dropout was a recurrent phenomenon, then we 11:43:46 had to figure out a way to overcome it and the 11:43:50 way that turned out to be — there were two ways 11:43:57 misdiagnosis due to allele dropout and the first 11:44:01 was the use of intragenic linked markers. 11:44:03 markers and we then had to develop the assays. 11:44:03 markers and we then had to develop the assays. 11:44:09 We had to optimize them to work on single cells. Then we had to find patients who had 11:44:20 those particular high markers and then we had to develop multiplex P.C.R. in order 11:44:27 to look at all of them at once and that was a 11:44:34 very tedious procedure that took several 11:44:34
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. people began instituting them in their own programs at that point and the 11:41:25 Thornhill report was what he had 11:41:28 implemented. 11:41:31 Q. Where is Thornhill based? 11:41:32 A. I don't know. 11:41:34 Q. Is he in the United States? 11:41:35 A. No. I think he's in Australia? 11:41:37 Australia or England. He speaks with an 11:41:47 Q. Over what course of time did 11:41:47 testing using genetic markers develop? 11:41:50 A. It was over the period of about 11:41:52 1992 until 2000 in my laboratory when we actively and intensively investigated the role of link markers in predicting and identifying allele dropout. 11:42:08 Q. That's an eight-year spread. 11:42:11 Let me ask you this: During that eight 11:42:15 genetic marker testing? 11:42:18	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30 culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34 them to a variety of testing to determine 11:43:36 what was the rate of allele dropout seen 11:43:39 in those cells. 11:43:42  When we established that allele 11:43:44 dropout was a recurrent phenomenon, then we 11:43:46 had to figure out a way to overcome it and the 11:43:50 way that turned out to be — there were two ways 11:43:54 that we eventually evolved to limit the 11:43:57 misdiagnosis due to allele dropout and the first 11:44:01 was the use of intragenic linked markers. 11:44:03  We developed the linked intragenic 11:44:03 markers and we then had to develop the assays. 11:44:09 We had to optimize them to work on single cells. Then we had to find patients who had 11:44:20 those particular high markers and then we had to develop multiplex P.C.R. in order 11:44:27 to look at all of them at once and that was a 11:44:34 years. 11:44:38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. people began instituting them in their own programs at that point and the 11:41:25 Thornhill report was what he had 11:41:28 implemented. 11:41:31 Q. Where is Thornhill based? 11:41:32 A. I don't know. 11:41:34 Q. Is he in the United States? 11:41:35 A. No. I think he's in Australia? 11:41:37 Australia or England. He speaks with an accent. 11:41:47 Q. Over what course of time did 11:41:47 testing using genetic markers develop? 11:41:50 A. It was over the period of about 11:41:52 1992 until 2000 in my laboratory when we actively and intensively investigated the role of link markers in predicting and identifying allele dropout. 11:42:04 identifying allele dropout. 11:42:08 Q. That's an eight-year spread. 11:42:11 Let me ask you this: During that eight 11:42:14 years, what factors slowed the development of 11:42:15 genetic marker testing? 11:42:18 A. Nothing. 11:42:20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30 culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34 them to a variety of testing to determine 11:43:36 what was the rate of allele dropout seen 11:43:39 in those cells. 11:43:42  When we established that allele 11:43:44 dropout was a recurrent phenomenon, then we 11:43:50 way that turned out to be — there were two ways 11:43:57 misdiagnosis due to allele dropout and the first 11:44:01 was the use of intragenic linked markers. 11:44:03 markers and we then had to develop the assays. 11:44:09 We had to optimize them to work on single cells. Then we had to find patients who had 11:44:20 those particular high markers and then we 11:44:27 to look at all of them at once and that was a 11:44:34 years. 11:44:38  Then once we had the system 11:44:38
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. people began instituting them in their own programs at that point and the 11:41:25 Thornhill report was what he had 11:41:28 implemented. 11:41:31 Q. Where is Thornhill based? 11:41:32 A. I don't know. 11:41:34 Q. Is he in the United States? 11:41:35 A. No. I think he's in Australia? 11:41:37 Australia or England. He speaks with an 11:41:47 Q. Over what course of time did 11:41:47 testing using genetic markers develop? 11:41:50 A. It was over the period of about 11:41:52 1992 until 2000 in my laboratory when we actively and intensively investigated the role of link markers in predicting and identifying allele dropout. 11:42:08 Q. That's an eight-year spread. 11:42:11 Let me ask you this: During that eight 11:42:15 genetic marker testing? 11:42:18	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.  diseases, grow that skin in tissue 11:43:30 culture. Take single cells and subject 11:43:34 them to a variety of testing to determine 11:43:36 what was the rate of allele dropout seen 11:43:39 in those cells. 11:43:42  When we established that allele 11:43:44 dropout was a recurrent phenomenon, then we 11:43:46 had to figure out a way to overcome it and the 11:43:50 way that turned out to be — there were two ways 11:43:54 that we eventually evolved to limit the 11:43:57 misdiagnosis due to allele dropout and the first 11:44:01 was the use of intragenic linked markers. 11:44:03  We developed the linked intragenic 11:44:03 markers and we then had to develop the assays. 11:44:09 We had to optimize them to work on single cells. Then we had to find patients who had 11:44:20 those particular high markers and then we had to develop multiplex P.C.R. in order 11:44:27 to look at all of them at once and that was a 11:44:34 years. 11:44:38

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

	126			128
	C CTROM M.D. DbD	1	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.	
1	C. STROM, M.D., PhD. other than control samples CG and MG, the 13:25:36	2	you now. 13:2'	7:36
2	other state control state and the	3	A. There's no way to know which of	13:27:36
3	Only cells that there suspends are the	4	those embryos resulted in the pregnancy.	13:27:39
4	deletion on the pacetral olde tree of the	5	Q. There's no way to know.	13:27:41
5	tho, milatimas a management	6	A. No. 13:27:	1
6	One signature present personal	7	7.11	13:27:49
7	cigne and term	8	opinion as to the percentage chances of	13:28:44
8	1 11.1 11.0 11.0 1	9	strike that. 13:28	
9	to this line of question, in that you stopped 13:26:01	10		3:28:51
10	That it out another many are quantum y	11	touched on earlier. Was it reasonable for	13:28:58
11	billion decorpory and area in an area	12	Dr. Hughes to set as a condition to Genesis	13:29:01
12	think you're asking the question 13:26:08	13	doing P.G.D. the undergoing by a couple	13:29:05
13	MR. LEUCHTMAN: No, I didn't 13:26:09	1.4		13:29:08
14	stop him from answering the question. 13:26:10	15	A. A requirement? I don't think	13:29:14
15	MR, HAMAD: He wasn't finished. 13:26:11  MR 3 FUCHTMAN: I encouraged him 13:26:13	16		29:16
16	, M. C.	17		13:29:17
17	to answer the question and not to ramble on. 13:26:14	18	A. Am a branch and	29:18
18	MR. STEIN: I object to the 13:26:19	19	-	3:29:19
19	characterization of the Doctor rambling on. 13:26:23	20	his decision. 13:25	i i
20	ries responding to your questions.	21		13:29:23
21	MR. LEUCHTMAN: Once encouraged, 13:26:26	22	Q. Do you agree or disagree that it's important scientifically for a lab doing	13:29:25
22	yes, I agree, and I'd like an answer to this 13:26:28	23	single cell P.G.D. to learn that there's	13:29:29
23	one. 13:26:29	24	been a failure or a misdiagnosis ten to	13:29:34
24	A. Okay. Column two, no deletion, 13:26:29	25	fifteen weeks into a pregnancy as opposed	13:29:37
25	sample number two; no deletion, sample 13:26:33		inteen weeks into a pregnancy as opposed	w
	127			129
1	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.	1	C. STROM, M.D., PhD.	
2	number eight; no deletion sample number 13:26:35	2	to after the baby has been born?	13:29:41
3	ten. 13:26:38	3	A. No. 13:29	:43
4	Q. What does no deletion mean? 13:26:38	4	<ul> <li>Q. Do you agree that as of early</li> </ul>	13:29:44
5	A. It means, the Delta F 508 13:26:40	5	to mid 2004, Genesis consisted of scientists	13:29:49
6	mutation was not observed in those samples. 13:26:46	6	trying to develop a complicated single cell to	
7	Q. What does no amp mean? 13:26:50	7	MR. STEIN: I object to the form	13:29:58
8	A. No amp means no amplification. 13:26:53	8	of the question. How is he supposed	13:29:59
9	Means no analysis. 13:26:57	9	to know what was going on at Genesis	13:30:02
10	Q. Doctor, I'm going to ask you 13:26:58	10		0:04
11	questions about two embryos, eight and 13:27:00	11	MR, LEUCHTMAN: I guess especially	
12	ten and I want to make it clear it that I'm 13:27:02	12	now that he's coached, he can say I don'	
13	not asking whether one was more likely 13:27:06	13	know. 13:30	
14	than the other, but whether you can say 13:27:08	14	MR. STEIN: You know, when you	13:30:10
15	without engaging in guess, speculation, 13:27:10	15	ask a question that is loaded with	13:30:11
16	or conjecture that either one in and of 13:27:12	16	presumptions and assumptions that on	13:30:14
17	itself was more likely than not the involved 13:27:14	17	its face is beyond the canon of anything	13:30:16
18	embryo. Do you follow me? 13:27:18	18	who's not intimately involved in the	13:30:22
19	A. No. That's a stupid question. 13:27:19	19	operation of Genesis Genetics, the	13:30:26
20	There are higher risks to one 13:27:22	20	question speaks for itself as being	13:30:27
11 44		21	Inappropriate and if you couch it in	13:30:30
21	of these embryos than the other embryo, 13:27:25			
11	of these embryos than the other embryo, 13:27:25 but that doesn't mean it's more likely than not 13:27:28	22	those terms, you get an objection from	13:30:33
21		}	those terms, you get an objection from me. 13:30	
21 22	but that doesn't mean it's more likely than not 13:27:28	22		

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

### EXHIBIT 8



PGD@GenesisGenetics.org



Grossbaum.M/C.CFTR10+11 July 18, 2004::07:22 PM SB-assay - Exon 11 - G542X

#### **DNA Sequencing – Genotyping Assay**

Date:

18 July 2004

Case:

Morganstern-Grossbaum.M/C

Family:

110000814-2004

Assay:

CFTR - exon 11 Sequencing

G542X, cNT1756 G>T

Sequencing:

Susan Brown, PhD

DNA Reads:

SB JK

Review/Approval:

Meffl MRH

DATA CONCORDENT

between none.

Exon 11 Data look CLEAR

Exon 11 Data look CLEAR

4 Unambiguous.

A Unambiguous.

A Unambiguous.

(We should try to obtain

(We should try to embry as for

Next time ASSAY

Next time ASSAY

### EXHIBIT 9

Genomics Center at Samaritan 5555 Conner Avenue, A2064

Detroit MI 48213

Phone: 313-544-4006

Fax: 313-544-4006



## Message:

Dear NYU IVF team

Attached are the final data for PGD results for your patients; Chaya Morganstern & Menachem Grossbaum PGD for a two mutations in the CFTR July 2004 IVF cycle

This is a final report for this PGD cycle. A lab result sheet was sent to you at 10:30. We are disappointed with the results given the large number of amplification failures for one fo the two CFTR alleles. We note that many of the embryos are significantly behind in their development with only 3 and 4 cells today. This may be the reason so many of these samples produced only partial data. In fact, the dF508 allele did not amplify in most of this cohort of samples. Have most of these embryos arrested in development?

If the couple chooses a transfer with this partial data-set, those samples displaying the G-allele at G542X would be predicted unaffected, assuming no allele drop out. However, ADO is possible in compound heterozygote testing such as this, and even more likely given the embryo quality. Therefore, a follow-up amniocentesis or CVS would be essential in this setting. The couple understands this.

We would like to study further any samples that are untransferred or not frozen. While most are of poor quality and may not be helpful, it might be possible to examine them and enhance this test in the future should this couple undergo IVF again. In their informed consent they initialed both lines, so please check with them to see if donation is possible.

Call us if you have any questions whatsoever. Best number today: 313-544-4006, extension 6 (not a voice prompted choice).

Please give this nice couple our best regards.

Sincerely, Mark Hughes

From:

**Genesis Genetics Institute** 

To: NY Univ Reproductive Medicine

2122630059 at 11:06 AM

Date: 7/19/2004 Page(s): 1

### EXHIBIT 10





#### **Genesis Genetics Institute**

Center for Preimplantation Genetics

<u>PGD Transfer Report</u>

Morganstern-Grossman.CFTR10+11.NYU.2004#316

July 19, 2004

NYU Reproductive Center 660 First Avenue, LC601 New York, NY 10016 Fax: 212-263-0059

Single cell molecular testing for cystic fibrosis - compound heterozygosity

Gene: CFTR HGNC: 1884 Locus ID: 1080 SwissProt: P13569 Chromosome: 7q31.2 RefSeq: NM 000492 OMIM: 219700; 602421 Autosomal Recessive

Name

Allele 1 (normal)

Allele 2 (mutant) Exon 11, G542X, Nt1756 T

Chaya Morganstern-mat (1980-05-27) Menachem Grossbaum-pat (1980-01-01) Exon 11, G542X, Nt1756 G Exon 10, ΔF508, cNT1652 CTT

Exon 10, ΔF508, cNT1652 delCTT

#### Sample Submission:

## Morganstern-GrossmanC/M.2004#316 FRS Morganstern-Grossman.C/M.CFTR10+11.NYU.2004#316

Biopsy Date:

2004.07.17::11:00 ET

Sample Receipt:

2004.07.18::08:45 ET

Data Complete:

2004.07.19::10:15 ET 2004.07.19::10:30 ET

Lab e-Report : Transfer Report:

2004.07.19::11:05 ET

Twenty (20) tubes were submitted for micro-genomic testing. Ten (10) were labeled as containing a single blastomere biopsied from ten (10) cleavage-stage IVF embryos produced by Chaya Morganstern and Menachem Grossbaum. Ten (10) additional tubes were labeled as containing media buffer only (B), obtained in parallel and included to monitor for potential exogenous DNA contamination.

Morganstern-Grossman.CFTR10+11.NYU.2004#316

July 19, 2004

Page 2 of 3

	Embryo	CFTR Exon 10	CFTR Exon 11	Interpretation
Sample	Quality	∆F508 c1652	G542X c1756	interpretation
Number	1 – 4 (1)	CTT / del CTT	G>T	
		Paternal Alleles	Maternal Alleles	Unsafe; Possibly Affected – ADO Paternal
2	2 – 8 cell	CTT present	T-only	Unsafe; Possibly Affected – Abo Faterial
3	2 – 3 cell	No DNA signal	No DNA signal	No Molecular data produced by this cell
4	2 – 4 cell	No DNA signal	G	Partial Data Only; Normal Maternal Allele*
	2 – 7 cell	No DNA signal	G	Partial Data Only; Normal Maternal Allele*
7	2 – 7 cell 2 – 8 cell	CTT present	G/T	Predicted Heterozygote Carrier*
8		No DNA signal	No DNA signal	No Molecular data produced by this cell
9	2 – 4 cell		G/T	Predicted Heterozygote Carrier*
10	2 – 4 cell	CTT present	G	Partial Data Only; Normal Maternal Allele*
13	2 – 4 cell	No DNA signal		ratial Data Only, Normal Materials
14	2 – 7 cell	No DNA signal	No DNA signal	No Molecular data produced by this cell
15	2 – 4 cell	No DNA signal	G	Partial Data Only; Normal Maternal Allele*
		<u> </u>	Controls	
	T	CTT / [CTT]	G/T	Heterozygote Carrier of exon 11 mutation
CG	Genomic	0117[011]	0	Parental DNA control; data as predicted
maternal	DNA-5pg	CTT / del CTT	G / [G]	Heterozygote Carrier of exon 11 mutation
GR	Genomic	CIT/delCit	ا ن ن ن	Parental DNA control; data as predicted
paternal	DNA-5pg	OTT / JUINTT	G / [G]	Heterozygote Carrier of exon 11 mutation
CFTR-10	Genomic	CTT / del CTT	[ G/[G]	Control DNA; data as predicted
Control	DNA-1 cell		O/T	Heterozygote Carrier of exon 11 mutation
CFTR-11	Genomic	CTT / [CTT]	G/T	Control DNA; data as predicted
Control	DNA-1 cell			
Media	Blanks	No DNA signals	No DNA signals	No evidence of exogenous DNA
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				

**Interpretation and notes:** A customized single-cell molecular assay was developed expressly for the CFTR alleles in this family. Chaya Morganstern and Menachem Grossbaum were each found on routine screening to be heterozygous (a carrier) for a mutation in the CFTR. They are at high genetic risk (25%) of transmitting both of these mutations, *in trans*, resulting in a child with cystic fibrosis.

This assay functioned well in the control samples displaying the normal and mutant alleles from the appropriate parental and control micro-DNA samples. Control algorithms produced the expected molecular data. No nucleotide signal was observed in the submitted media blanks.

It is noted that many of these embryos have only a very small number of cells at the time of biopsy. Only two of these embryos developed to the 8-cell stage at the time of biopsy, and many of the embryos had only half this number. This may explain the disappointing outcome in this analysis. Most of the samples did not produce data at the ΔF508 allele. Usually, when this occurs, it is not a DNA test issue, but pertains to the quality of the embryo or the biopsied cell from it. This assay performs with very high sensitivity and specificity when examining single lymphocytes, fibroblasts, amniocytes and one genome-equivalent of DNA. Analysis at this locus has been routinely performed since 1991 and it is a very robust assay. It performed well in the control samples processed in parallel during this testing. Often, when molecular data is not obtained, the embryo from which that blastomere was derived has developed poorly or arrested in development. It is presumed that intracellular nucleases degrade the genomic material for analysis. Other reasons for signal failure include: a) blastomere transfer issues; b) absence of an intact nucleus; c) partial degradation of the genome within the cell, and d) stochastic failure of the amplification reaction.

Allele Drop Out is a distinct concern in this sample set. \*ADO is a stochastic phenomenon which has been reported by all laboratories performing single cell molecular testing. If one genomic allele is under represented in the amplification reaction, and not visualized, that allele "drops out" and could result in a misdiagnosis.

This report continues

Morganstern-Grossman.CFTR10+11.NYU.2004#316

July 19, 2004

Page 3 of 3

This is an experimental research protocol. Chaya Morganstern and Menachem Grossbaum have acknowledged verbally and in writing that they understand that Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis is not perfect technology, and that PGD is not considered routine medical care. They recognize that microgenomic testing overnight of one cell, with two different DNA mutations, will likely never be as reliable as testing hundreds or thousands of cells from an amniocentesis or blood sample over the course of many days. They understand that there have been errors in the past in PGD by virtually all laboratory groups performing this technology, (including this laboratory) especially when testing for completely separate gene mutations (compound heterozygosity) in disorders like cystic fibrosis.

Chaya Morganstern and Menachem Grossbaum have been counseled extensively by multiple medical professionals. They understand that the goal in PGD is to reduce their likelihood of having a fetus with cystic fibrosis from the *a priori* risk of 25% to a value significantly less, but that *this risk is not reduced to zero*. Zero risk is not expected, is not promised, and is not possible in one-cell, one-gene, two-mutation testing overnight. Should a pregnancy ensue Chaya Morganstern has agreed to undergo conventional prenatal testing to confirm these microgenomic experimental results.

(electronically signed)
Mark R. Hughes, MD, Ph.D.

### EXHIBIT 11

Page 1 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY 2 3 4 CHAYA GROSSBAUM and MENCHEN GROSSBAUM, Her Spouse, Individually, and 5 as Guardian ad litem of the Infant, ROSJE 6 7 GROSSBAUM, Plaintiffs, 8 07-CV-359 9 -vs-GENESIS GENETICS INSTITUTE, LEG 10 MARK R. OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN, 11 HUGHES, M.D., NEW YORK UNIVERSITY 12 SCHOOL OF MEDICINE and NEW YORK 13 UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS CENTER, both 14 Corporations of the State of New York, 15 1-10 and John Doe, ABC CORPORATIONS: 16 Defendants. 17 18 19 PAGE 1 - 82 20 21 The Deposition of DR. MARK HUGHES, 22 Taken at 1380 Trowbridge Place, 23 24 Detroit, Michigan,

Hanson Renaissance Court Reporting & Video 313.567.8100 www.hansonreporting.com

Commencing at 12:55 p.m.,

25

2 (Pages 2 to 5) Page 2 Page 4 1 Friday, May 14, 2010 1 INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS Before Laura J. Steenbergh, CSR-3707, RPR, CRR, RMR 2 2 3 Witness Page 3 4 DR. MARK HUGHES APPEARANCES: 4 5 5 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. STEIN: NUSBAUM, STEIN, GOLDSTEIN 6 6 7 BRONSTEIN & KRON, P.A. 7 **EXAMINATION BY MR. HAMAD:** 8 8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 9 INDEX TO EXHIBITS 9 20 Commerce Blvd. 10 Succasunna, NJ 070876 10 11 Exhibit Page BY: LEWIS STEIN, ESQ. 11 12 (Exhibits retained) BY: LYNN HARRISON, PARALEGAL 12 13 DEPOSITION EXHIBITS P1 AND P2 13 5 TROWBRIDGE LAW FIRM 14 **DEPOSITION EXHIBITS P3 AND P4** 14 Attorneys for Defendants 15 **DEPOSITION EXHIBITS P5 THROUGH P9** 57 15 16 Genesis Genetics Institute, LLC 16 17 And Mark R. Hughes, M.D. 17 18 1380 East Jefferson Avenue 18 19 19 Detroit, Michigan 48207 20 BY: STEPHEN LEUCHTMAN, ESQ. 20 BY: ALI ZAIDI, ESQ. 21 21 22 22 23 23 24 24 25 25 Page 3 Page 5 1 Detroit, Michigan 1 APPEARANCES (Continued): Friday, May 14, 2010 2 2 3 About 12:55 p.m. 3 MARSHALL, DENNEHEY, WARNER DEPOSITION EXHIBITS P1 AND P2 4 4 COLEMAN & GOGGIN Attorneys for Defendants 5 WERE MARKED BY THE REPORTER 5 6 FOR IDENTIFICATION New York University School of 6 7 Medicine and New York University 7 MR. STEIN: Dr. Hughes, we're here today to take 8 your deposition for the second time, since I have been in Hospitals Center 8 9 receipt of a letter dated March 2nd, 2010, addressed to 425 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 302 9 10 Stephen F. Leuchtman, consisting of three pages, which Roseland, New Jersey 07068 10 11 we've marked for purposes of this deposition P1, and it 11 BY: JAY A. HAMAD, ESQ. 12 would be Hughes 2, since we already had your deposition 12 13 one time, and also a two-page bibliography, which I've 13 14 marked P2 or had marked P2. 14 15 MR. LEUCHTMAN: It's not a bibliography. 15 16 MR. STEIN: That's my characterization. No, a 16 17 biography. Did I say bibliography? I misspoke. 17 18 And which we've marked P2. And as a result of 18 19 having received this letter and the advice that you plan

20

21

22

23

24

25

his oath as follows:

to offer yourself as an expert witness in the event this

matter goes to trial, we're here to take your deposition

having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified on

today on the basis of this recent submission.

DR. MARK HUGHES,

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

Page 28 Page 26 Q. Okay. And I take it that you suggested that is not a 1 MR. STEIN: Okay. contact with a patient in the sense that a doctor has MR. LEUCHTMAN: I'm not telling him not to 2 2 contact with patients, is that correct? 3 3 A. In general laboratories never talk to patients. They do 4 THE WITNESS: Oh. I'm now gun shy. Whenever you 4 the test that was ordered, they write a report, they send 5 5 talk I don't know what to say. it to the person who ordered the test, and that's the 6 Yes, there was. I was - can I extrapolate? I 6 extent of it. In the field of PGD, the few of us that do 7 was recruited to the NIH and Georgetown University 7 this feel that it's more important to communicate 8 because of my research in embryo science, and did 8 beforehand with the patient about the risks and benefits significant amounts of work there, and gave major 9 9 of the procedure. Because sometimes the doctors at the 10 lectures at the NIH and at Georgetown, and taught on the 10 clinics don't necessarily know the nuances of the latest. 11 subject, and was quite publicly known. Then there was a 11 They're IVF experts, not genetic experts. So from a 12 change in the administration of Washington. The 12 perspective of an informed consent, we take and go the 13 republicans took the house for the first time in decades, 13 extra mile and spend time with them, a significant amount and Newt Gingrich was the speaker of the house, and the 14 14 of time with them, explaining to them the steps involved. 15 philosophy of doing anything whatsoever with an embryo 15 Q. Now, have you ever encountered the issue with regard to anywhere near the NIH became of a concern because we were 16 16 practicing medicine in the State of Michigan under its 17 all very actively trying to double the NIH budget at the 17 rules and regulations for the medical profession? time. So we were all busy lobbying to get more money for 18 18 MR. LEUCHTMAN: Encountered what issue? Object 19 biomedical research. And so suddenly I became a 19 to the form of the question as vague and ambiguous. 20 liability in that quest. 20 MR. STEIN: I'll rephrase it. 21 BY MR. STEIN: 21 22 BY MR. STEIN: Q. And so they asked you to leave? 22 Q. Has the issue ever been raised with the regulatory 23 A. Yes. Well, they said I could stay, but I couldn't work 23 authorities in Michigan who regulate the practice of 24 on this. And then the good Jesuits were now in the 24 medicine as to whether or not the contacts that you have public eye, and they became concerned because it was 25 25 Page 29 Page 27 with the patient constitutes practicing medicine? 1 obvious what was going on, and so --1 I don't have a license in Michigan to practice medicine. 2 Q. Did you continue to work with embryos after it became 2 I don't practice medicine. I happen to have an MD, but government policy not to allow that type of research at 3 3 what I do is science, it's my PhD. I don't practice 4 the NIH? 4 5 medicine. A. I don't know anything about the policies of when it was 5 Q. And you don't consider the providing informed consent to or when it wasn't. What I know is that when the decision 6 б the patient who's going to be a prospective submitter of 7 was made that we shouldn't do this at the NIH, or have a 7 materials for laboratory analysis to be practicing faculty member -- or, not a faculty member -- a staff 8 8 9 medicine, is that right? member of the NIH doing it even across the street at the 9 A. Not even remotely. It's more like a genetic counselor. Samaritan Hospital because of the political issues 10 10 That's why we don't talk to the patient during or after 11 involved, I was asked to resign. 11 Q. Were you using NIH offices to conduct the research that 12 12 Q. Okay. Now, when you said -- and you have a copy of your 1.3 you were doing contrary to government policy? 13 14 letter in front of you? A. No. I was using the offices at Georgetown. 14 Q. Okay. Now, turning to your deposition, Exhibit P1, which 15 A. Yeah. 15 Q. And you say in that paragraph that -- you explained, to 16 is your report, three-page report, in the paragraph 16 quote you, I explained the technology involved isn't 17 before the bottom of the first page that begins, I spoke 17 perfect and pushes medical diagnostic technology to its 18 with the Grossbaums and conducted the interview that you 1.8 absolute limit. have described in your report, I take it that that 19 19 Can you tell me what you mean by that? 20 conversation lasted for some time? 20 A. Yes. Unlike testing that's done in almost any other A. They usually last a good hour, sometimes longer. 21 21 field of medicine, we're studying the smallest unit of 22 Q. Okay. Now, that is, obviously, direct contact between 22 life, one cell. And we're studying it for the smallest you and what would become your patient when they sent the 23 23 unit of inheritance, one gene. And we're studying it for 24 laboratory materials from NYU, is that correct? 24

A. Not exactly.

25

25

the smallest possible part of a gene, changes of a single

#### 11 (Pages 38 to 41)

Page 38 Page 40 Q. - to CVS or amnio in advance, is that right? 1 1 Q. Okay. And this was the practice at the time that the 2 A. No. No. So first of all, I don't do any referring, 2 Grossbaums had their studies? 3 because the patient isn't mine. The patient belongs to 3 A. Yes. 4 the clinic and the doctor who's taking care of them. So 4 Q. And this is a status that you've always known to be the 5 we would tell that doctor -- as far as I would go is I 5 case, is that right? I'll withdraw that question. would tell the patient that we wouldn't be able to help 6 6 That's a vague question. them, that there may be other laboratories who would, 7 7 Well, can you tell me then, Doctor, why at the top 8 that we will communicate this back to their physician, 8 of page two of your report, P1, I read the following 9 and then they can decide where to go, but we can't help 9 statement, I have had people over the years voice 10 10 objection to amnio or CVS, and when this has happened I 11 Q. So is it your testimony that you were not aware whether 11 have referred them to organizations who did not require 12 12 or not the other laboratories that you mentioned, RGI or conventional prenatal follow-up testing with amnio or the laboratory in Virginia, would do a PGD study on a 13 13 CVS. 14 couple that did not agree to do amnio or CVS, is that 14 Can you tell me how you could write that in a 15 your testimony? 15 report and yet testify the way you have here today? 16 A. I don't know what their policy would be in a given case, 16 A. I'm not specifically referring the patient to another 17 depending on the rarity of the mutation, the type of 17 organization. That's incorrectly stated. I've never 18 18 mutation, the number of samples that would be available. referred a patient to another center. I give them 19 I don't know what their policies would be. 19 options through their doctor. 20 Q. We're talking strictly about a policy that you indicated 20 Q. Okay. Now, you've also indicated in your report that you 21 exists at Genesis Genetics at that time, and I take it to 21 discuss the risk of misdiagnosis, is that correct? 22 the present. 22 A. Yes. 23 A. That's correct. 23 Q. And - give me a second. 24 Q. That you will not have taken a case if the couple do not 24 All right. Doctor, I believe you indicated in 25 agree to undertake CVS or amnio following the IVF 25 your prior deposition that the risk of misdiagnosis was Page 39 Page 41 1 procedure, is that correct? 1 between three and five percent, is that correct? 2 A. That's correct. 2 A. That's the risk that's quoted around the world in other 3 Q. That's your policy? 3 PGD programs, and in general the genetic counselors quote A. That's our policy. 4 that number. In our group it isn't that high, but that's 5 Q. And you were not aware, either at the time that you saw 5 the number that's been sort of announced by -6 the Grossbaums or even today, as to whether or not the 6 Q. Okay. Can you tell me, when you say that's announced by 7 other laboratories that do the kind of PGD studies that 7 other groups and around the world, where are these R you do would do those studies without a commitment for 8 announcements made? What specifically are you referring 9 the people to undertake amnio or CVS, is that correct? 9 10 A. I do not -- I can't comment on the policies of the other 10 A. So at scientific meetings people stand up and talk about 11 places, and I'm not aware of it. 11 the error rates that they see. 12 Q. Okay. And is it your testimony that you yourself would 12 Q. And you have a specific recollection of people standing 13 not have been referring anyone to these laboratories, it 13 - of particular people standing up? 14 would have to be the doctor that --14 A. Sure. 15 A. Right. Because the patient, if I was to tell NYU that 15 Q. Okay. What group or what person in these meetings do you 16 I've referred their patient to another laboratory, they'd 16 recall standing up and they have an error rate of three 17 have a fit, and rightfully so. 17 to five percent? 18 Q. Okay. So then you've never referred then to 18 A. They don't necessarily say that they have an error rate. 19 organizations who did not require conventional prenatal 19 They quote that as the rate in the field. 20 follow-up, testing, amnio or CVS, is that right? 20 Q. Okay. 21 A. I don't refer patients to anywhere. I tell patients that 21 A. And I've always thought that was high. 22 -- and there's about three or four a month -- I tell them 22 Q. Okay. In other words, individuals have stated at 23 we're unable to help you, and I explain why. And I say 23 meetings, who are attending the meetings and are working 24 we will talk with your doctors and there are other 24 in the field, that the error rate in the field in general 25 laboratories that might be able to help you. 25 is three to five percent, is that correct?

#### 12 (Pages 42 to 45)

Page 42 Page 44 1 the numbers. 1 A. I've heard that many times. 2 Q. And do you know how many you did in 2009, last year? 2 Q. Okay. And has that error rate changed over time? A. No. But almost twice that. 3 A. Actually the quoted numbers from just last week at the 3 Q. And how many failures did you have in 2004? 4 4 international meetings were still three to five percent. 5 5 Q. Okay. So someone got up and quoted three to five percent Q. The Grossbaums was one of them? 6 б at the meeting last week? 7 A. Yes. 7 A. I heard it discussed, yes. 8 Q. And what was the analysis done on the other two that had Q. Okay. And who did you hear it discussed from? Who said 8 9 9 10 A. One of them was a healthy child that we predicted was a 10 A. I'd have to go look. 11 carrier, and one of them was an affected that was picked 11 Q. And where would you look? 12 up on amniocentesis or CVS. A. I'd look at the minutes of the meeting that we just had. 12 13 Q. And was it picked up? 13 Q. And those minutes are circulated? A. Yeah. A. No. They're notes that I would have taken. Or they 14 74 15 Q. And did the parents abort in that case? might be in the abstract. We can look. 15 16 A. I don't remember. There's no link between those. An 16 Q. And is the abstract circulated for everybody who's in attendance at the meeting? 17 amniocentesis is not a search and destroy mission. 17 18 Q. I think we explored that at the last deposition, didn't 18 A. Yes. 19 19 Q. And what was the nature of the meeting, what was the 20 A. I don't remember. 20 group that met? 21 Q. You haven't read your deposition --21 A. The PGD International Society. 22 A. Months ago. 22 Q. And where was the meeting? 23 Q. -- prior to coming here today? 23 A. France. Q. And was Dr. Xu there? 24 A. No. 25 Q. The 582 cycles that you described, were they for all 25 A. No. Page 43 Page 45 forms of genetic disorders, or just for cystic fibrosis? 1 1 Q. And your rate is less than one-half of one percent, is it 2 2 A. No. For all forms. 3 A. No. Our rate runs between one and two, depending on the 3 Q. Now, the Grossbaums were described as having a mutation 4 that was - can be said to be compound heterozygous, is 4 5 that right? 5 Q. So each year you have one to two percent misdiagnosis? A. Yes. 6 6 A. 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5. Q. Do you know how many of the cystic fibrosis studies that 7 Q. Now, is that specifically with respect to cystic 7 8 8 you did in 2004 were for couples who had compound fibrosis, or is that with respect to all --Q 9 A. No. That's all diseases. heterozygous mutations? 1.0 10 A. I don't know those numbers off my head, no. Q. And how many do you do a year? 11 Q. Do you know how frequently you see compound heterozygous A. I can tell you what we did in 2004. 11 12 mutations to be analyzed? 12 Q. How many did you do in 2004? 13 A. Fairly frequently. Now. 13 A. I wrote the numbers down. We did 582 cycles. 14 O. Now? 14 Q. And you have that specifically available to you, you 15 A. Um-hum (affirmatively). 15 wrote it down? 16 Q. How about in 2004? 16 A. I wrote it down before I came over here. Because I 17 A. We would see them then, too, but it's gone up 1.7 figured you'd ask. Q. Okay. And what did you write it down on? 18 substantially, the numbers. Because the ability to find 18 19 the mutations in these different diseases has gone up, A. (No response). 19 20 because the technology for looking for the mutations is 20 Q. What did you write it down on? 21 easier. So just a few years ago there weren't very many 21 A. I just wrote it in the corner here on this piece of 22 places that would - well, cystic fibrosis is different 22 23 - but for many of these disorders there wasn't anyone 23 Q. Before you came over here? 24 who was willing to screen by DNA sequencing the entire 24 A. No. I had it in my mind. But I knew the question was 25 25 coming, so I scribbled it over here so I wouldn't forget gene looking for what the other mutation might be, so PGD

Hanson Renaissance Court Reporting & Video 313.567.8100 www.hansonreporting.com

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

	77		Page 68
	Page 66		rage to
1	what you represent to be your formal report?	1	you not?
2	MR. HAMAD: Objection to form.	2	A. I'm referring to the choice that the couple would make
3	THE WITNESS: It's our formal report.	3	after hearing the information about the quality of their
4	BY MR. STEIN:	4	embryos, and the molecular results and the options that
5	Q. And that is your complete report on the Grossbaums'	5	they have in front of them about what they would like to
6	matter?	6	do. And it isn't necessarily so, I mean, I don't know,
7	A. Yes.	7	but it isn't necessarily so that the clinic would agree
8	Q. Okay. Now, turning to the P8 do you have a copy in	8	to what that would be. They might and they might not.
9	front of you?	9	Q. Might agree and might not agree to what?
10	A. Yes.	10	A. Well, if the doctor said we're not going to transfer this
11	Q. In the first paragraph of P8 you describe the results as	11	embryo, some clinics would say we're not going to
12	disappointing, is that correct?	12	transfer the embryo. Other clinics would say, well, no,
13	A. Yes.	13	the embryo belongs to you and you can take it if you
14	Q. And then you go on in your second paragraph to say, if	14	wish. I stay away from those things, but I point out the
15	the couple chooses a transfer with this partial data set,	15	limitations of the testing.
16	do you not?	16	Q. Are you presuming by that comment that the couple will be
17	A. Um-hum (affirmatively).	17	advised of the disappointing result of your test?
18	Q. Does that statement assume that the couple will be	18	MR HAMAD: Objection to form, asked and
19	advised that there is only a partial data set?	19	answered.
20	MR. HAMAD: Objection to form.	20	THE WITNESS: I'm not assuming anything.
21	THE WITNESS: I'm not assuming anything.	21	BY MR. STEIN:
22	BY MR. STEIN:	22	Q. Okay. Now, you say that in that message that AVO is possible in compound heterozygous testing such as this,
23	Q. Well, for the couple to choose to transfer this partial	23	and even more likely given the embryo quality. That's
24	data set there has to be some communication to them that	24	what you write, is that right?
25	there is a partial data set that was disappointing,	25	what you write, is that right:
		<u> </u>	
***************************************	Page 67		Page 69
***************************************		1	Page 69 A. Yes.
1 2	Page 67	1 2	•
1	Page 67 doesn't there? MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior	ŀ	A. Yes.
1 2	Page 67	2	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> </ul>
1 2 3	Page 67 doesn't there? MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer.	2	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele</li></ul>
1 2 3 4	Page 67 doesn't there? MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer. BY MR. STEIN: Q. Go ahead.	2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, I mentioned it in — it's mentioned in sample two.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5	Page 67 doesn't there? MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer. BY MR. STEIN: Q. Go ahead. A. I'm not sure I understand. We're dealing with an	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, I mentioned it in it's mentioned in sample two.</li> <li>Q. Now, just so I'm clear, is it not anticipated that the</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5	Page 67 doesn't there? MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer. BY MR. STEIN: Q. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, I mentioned it in it's mentioned in sample two.</li> <li>Q. Now, just so I'm clear, is it not anticipated that the clinic will proceed with IVF based on the form of report</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 67 doesn't there? MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer. BY MR. STEIN: Q. Go ahead. A. I'm not sure I understand. We're dealing with an outstanding group of people who understand PGD very well.	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, I mentioned it in it's mentioned in sample two.</li> <li>Q. Now, just so I'm clear, is it not anticipated that the clinic will proceed with IVF based on the form of report that is present and marked P5?</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 67 doesn't there? MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer. BY MR. STEIN: Q. Go ahead. A. I'm not sure I understand. We're dealing with an outstanding group of people who understand PGD very well. They're going look at this table and see that —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, I mentioned it in it's mentioned in sample two.</li> <li>Q. Now, just so I'm clear, is it not anticipated that the clinic will proceed with IVF based on the form of report</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 67  doesn't there?  MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer.  BY MR. STEIN:  Q. Go ahead.  A. I'm not sure I understand. We're dealing with an outstanding group of people who understand PGD very well. They're going look at this table and see that —  Q. Doctor, my question —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, I mentioned it in — it's mentioned in sample two.</li> <li>Q. Now, just so I'm clear, is it not anticipated that the clinic will proceed with IVF based on the form of report that is present and marked P5?  MR. HAMAD: Asked and answered, three questions ago.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	doesn't there?  MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer.  BY MR. STEIN:  Q. Go ahead.  A. I'm not sure I understand. We're dealing with an outstanding group of people who understand PGD very well. They're going look at this table and see that —  Q. Doctor, my question —  MR. STEIN: Can I have the last question read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, I mentioned it in — it's mentioned in sample two.</li> <li>Q. Now, just so I'm clear, is it not anticipated that the clinic will proceed with IVF based on the form of report that is present and marked P5?  MR. HAMAD: Asked and answered, three questions ago.  THE WITNESS: This report is sent to them just</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	doesn't there?  MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer.  BY MR. STEIN:  Q. Go ahead.  A. I'm not sure I understand. We're dealing with an outstanding group of people who understand PGD very well. They're going look at this table and see that —  Q. Doctor, my question —  MR. STEIN: Can I have the last question read back please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, I mentioned it in — it's mentioned in sample two.</li> <li>Q. Now, just so I'm clear, is it not anticipated that the clinic will proceed with IVF based on the form of report that is present and marked P5?  MR. HAMAD: Asked and answered, three questions ago.  THE WITNESS: This report is sent to them just like any laboratory report. They review it, they make a</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	doesn't there?  MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer.  BY MR. STEIN:  Q. Go ahead.  A. I'm not sure I understand. We're dealing with an outstanding group of people who understand PGD very well. They're going look at this table and see that —  Q. Doctor, my question —  MR. STEIN: Can I have the last question read back please?  (Record repeated as requested).  THE WITNESS: When a doctor reads a diagnostic report that they receive, they read it and understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, I mentioned it in it's mentioned in sample two.</li> <li>Q. Now, just so I'm clear, is it not anticipated that the clinic will proceed with IVF based on the form of report that is present and marked P5?  MR. HAMAD: Asked and answered, three questions ago.  THE WITNESS: This report is sent to them just like any laboratory report. They review it, they make a decision in the best interests of the patient, I hope,</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	doesn't there?  MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer.  BY MR. STEIN:  Q. Go ahead.  A. I'm not sure I understand. We're dealing with an outstanding group of people who understand PGD very well. They're going look at this table and see that —  Q. Doctor, my question —  MR. STEIN: Can I have the last question read back please?  (Record repeated as requested).  THE WITNESS: When a doctor reads a diagnostic report that they receive, they read it and understand what it says. I mean, that's why they order the test.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, I mentioned it in — it's mentioned in sample two.</li> <li>Q. Now, just so I'm clear, is it not anticipated that the clinic will proceed with IVF based on the form of report that is present and marked P5?  MR. HAMAD: Asked and answered, three questions ago.  THE WITNESS: This report is sent to them just like any laboratory report. They review it, they make a decision in the best interests of the patient, I hope, and I don't have any assumptions about which ones they're</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	doesn't there?  MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer.  BY MR. STEIN:  Q. Go ahead.  A. I'm not sure I understand. We're dealing with an outstanding group of people who understand PGD very well. They're going look at this table and see that —  Q. Doctor, my question —  MR. STEIN: Can I have the last question read back please?  (Record repeated as requested).  THE WITNESS: When a doctor reads a diagnostic report that they receive, they read it and understand what it says. I mean, that's why they order the test.  So you send them the information, whichever one you want,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, I mentioned it in — it's mentioned in sample two.</li> <li>Q. Now, just so I'm clear, is it not anticipated that the clinic will proceed with IVF based on the form of report that is present and marked P5?  MR. HAMAD: Asked and answered, three questions ago.  THE WITNESS: This report is sent to them just like any laboratory report. They review it, they make a decision in the best interests of the patient, I hope, and I don't have any assumptions about which ones they're going to transfer. In fact, earlier this week a couple</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	doesn't there?  MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer.  BY MR. STEIN:  Q. Go ahead.  A. I'm not sure I understand. We're dealing with an outstanding group of people who understand PGD very well. They're going look at this table and see that —  Q. Doctor, my question —  MR. STEIN: Can I have the last question read back please?  (Record repeated as requested).  THE WITNESS: When a doctor reads a diagnostic report that they receive, they read it and understand what it says. I mean, that's why they order the test.  So you send them the information, whichever one you want, and it's pretty clear that there's a partial data set.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, I mentioned it in — it's mentioned in sample two.</li> <li>Q. Now, just so I'm clear, is it not anticipated that the clinic will proceed with IVF based on the form of report that is present and marked P5?  MR. HAMAD: Asked and answered, three questions ago.  THE WITNESS: This report is sent to them just like any laboratory report. They review it, they make a decision in the best interests of the patient, I hope, and I don't have any assumptions about which ones they're going to transfer. In fact, earlier this week a couple elected to take two embryos that were affected, so —</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	doesn't there?  MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer.  BY MR. STEIN:  Q. Go ahead.  A. I'm not sure I understand. We're dealing with an outstanding group of people who understand PGD very well. They're going look at this table and see that —  Q. Doctor, my question —  MR. STEIN: Can I have the last question read back please?  (Record repeated as requested).  THE WITNESS: When a doctor reads a diagnostic report that they receive, they read it and understand what it says. I mean, that's why they order the test.  So you send them the information, whichever one you want, and it's pretty clear that there's a partial data set.  It doesn't take rocket science to see that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, I mentioned it in — it's mentioned in sample two.</li> <li>Q. Now, just so I'm clear, is it not anticipated that the clinic will proceed with IVF based on the form of report that is present and marked P5?  MR. HAMAD: Asked and answered, three questions ago.  THE WITNESS: This report is sent to them just like any laboratory report. They review it, they make a decision in the best interests of the patient, I hope, and I don't have any assumptions about which ones they're going to transfer. In fact, earlier this week a couple elected to take two embryos that were affected, so — BY MR. STEIN:</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	doesn't there?  MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer.  BY MR. STEIN:  Q. Go ahead.  A. I'm not sure I understand. We're dealing with an outstanding group of people who understand PGD very well. They're going look at this table and see that —  Q. Doctor, my question —  MR. STEIN: Can I have the last question read back please?  (Record repeated as requested).  THE WITNESS: When a doctor reads a diagnostic report that they receive, they read it and understand what it says. I mean, that's why they order the test.  So you send them the information, whichever one you want, and it's pretty clear that there's a partial data set. It doesn't take rocket science to see that.  BY MR. STEIN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, I mentioned it in — it's mentioned in sample two.</li> <li>Q. Now, just so I'm clear, is it not anticipated that the clinic will proceed with IVF based on the form of report that is present and marked P5?  MR. HAMAD: Asked and answered, three questions ago.  THE WITNESS: This report is sent to them just like any laboratory report. They review it, they make a decision in the best interests of the patient, I hope, and I don't have any assumptions about which ones they're going to transfer. In fact, earlier this week a couple elected to take two embryos that were affected, so—BY MR. STEIN:</li> <li>Q. Aside from what the couples intend to do as a result of</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	doesn't there?  MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer.  BY MR. STEIN:  Q. Go ahead.  A. I'm not sure I understand. We're dealing with an outstanding group of people who understand PGD very well. They're going look at this table and see that —  Q. Doctor, my question —  MR. STEIN: Can I have the last question read back please?  (Record repeated as requested).  THE WITNESS: When a doctor reads a diagnostic report that they receive, they read it and understand what it says. I mean, that's why they order the test.  So you send them the information, whichever one you want, and it's pretty clear that there's a partial data set. It doesn't take rocket science to see that.  BY MR. STEIN:  Q. Doctor, my question just called for a yes or no answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, I mentioned it in it's mentioned in sample two.</li> <li>Q. Now, just so I'm clear, is it not anticipated that the clinic will proceed with IVF based on the form of report that is present and marked P5?  MR. HAMAD: Asked and answered, three questions ago.  THE WITNESS: This report is sent to them just like any laboratory report. They review it, they make a decision in the best interests of the patient, I hope, and I don't have any assumptions about which ones they're going to transfer. In fact, earlier this week a couple elected to take two embryos that were affected, so BY MR. STEIN:</li> <li>Q. Aside from what the couples intend to do as a result of the decision, you are presuming when you send P5 that the</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	doesn't there?  MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer.  BY MR. STEIN:  Q. Go ahead.  A. I'm not sure I understand. We're dealing with an outstanding group of people who understand PGD very well. They're going look at this table and see that —  Q. Doctor, my question —  MR. STEIN: Can I have the last question read back please?  (Record repeated as requested).  THE WITNESS: When a doctor reads a diagnostic report that they receive, they read it and understand what it says. I mean, that's why they order the test.  So you send them the information, whichever one you want, and it's pretty clear that there's a partial data set. It doesn't take rocket science to see that.  BY MR. STEIN:  Q. Doctor, my question just called for a yes or no answer.  A. Then I don't understand the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, I mentioned it in — it's mentioned in sample two.</li> <li>Q. Now, just so I'm clear, is it not anticipated that the clinic will proceed with IVF based on the form of report that is present and marked P5?  MR. HAMAD: Asked and answered, three questions ago.  THE WITNESS: This report is sent to them just like any laboratory report. They review it, they make a decision in the best interests of the patient, I hope, and I don't have any assumptions about which ones they're going to transfer. In fact, earlier this week a couple elected to take two embryos that were affected, so — BY MR. STEIN:</li> <li>Q. Aside from what the couples intend to do as a result of the decision, you are presuming when you send P5 that the fertility clinic will act on the content of the</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	doesn't there?  MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer.  BY MR. STEIN:  Q. Go ahead.  A. I'm not sure I understand. We're dealing with an outstanding group of people who understand PGD very well. They're going look at this table and see that —  Q. Doctor, my question —  MR. STEIN: Can I have the last question read back please?  (Record repeated as requested).  THE WITNESS: When a doctor reads a diagnostic report that they receive, they read it and understand what it says. I mean, that's why they order the test. So you send them the information, whichever one you want, and it's pretty clear that there's a partial data set. It doesn't take rocket science to see that.  BY MR. STEIN:  Q. Doctor, my question just called for a yes or no answer.  A. Then I don't understand the question.  Q. All right. If, as you say in your message, a document we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, I mentioned it in — it's mentioned in sample two.</li> <li>Q. Now, just so I'm clear, is it not anticipated that the clinic will proceed with IVF based on the form of report that is present and marked P5?  MR. HAMAD: Asked and answered, three questions ago.  THE WITNESS: This report is sent to them just like any laboratory report. They review it, they make a decision in the best interests of the patient, I hope, and I don't have any assumptions about which ones they're going to transfer. In fact, earlier this week a couple elected to take two embryos that were affected, so—BY MR. STEIN:</li> <li>Q. Aside from what the couples intend to do as a result of the decision, you are presuming when you send P5 that the fertility clinic will act on the content of the information contained in P5, are you not?</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	doesn't there?  MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer.  BY MR. STEIN:  Q. Go ahead.  A. I'm not sure I understand. We're dealing with an outstanding group of people who understand PGD very well. They're going look at this table and see that —  Q. Doctor, my question —  MR. STEIN: Can I have the last question read back please?  (Record repeated as requested).  THE WITNESS: When a doctor reads a diagnostic report that they receive, they read it and understand what it says. I mean, that's why they order the test. So you send them the information, whichever one you want, and it's pretty clear that there's a partial data set. It doesn't take rocket science to see that.  BY MR. STEIN:  Q. Doctor, my question just called for a yes or no answer.  A. Then I don't understand the question.  Q. All right. If, as you say in your message, a document we marked P8, if the couple chooses a transfer with this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, I mentioned it in — it's mentioned in sample two.</li> <li>Q. Now, just so I'm clear, is it not anticipated that the clinic will proceed with IVF based on the form of report that is present and marked P5?  MR. HAMAD: Asked and answered, three questions ago.  THE WITNESS: This report is sent to them just like any laboratory report. They review it, they make a decision in the best interests of the patient, I hope, and I don't have any assumptions about which ones they're going to transfer. In fact, earlier this week a couple elected to take two embryos that were affected, so—BY MR. STEIN:</li> <li>Q. Aside from what the couples intend to do as a result of the decision, you are presuming when you send P5 that the fertility clinic will act on the content of the information contained in P5, are you not?</li> <li>A. No. I'm sending them information. What they do with it</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	doesn't there?  MR. HAMAD: Objection, form. Misstates his prior testimony. You can answer.  BY MR. STEIN:  Q. Go ahead.  A. I'm not sure I understand. We're dealing with an outstanding group of people who understand PGD very well. They're going look at this table and see that —  Q. Doctor, my question —  MR. STEIN: Can I have the last question read back please?  (Record repeated as requested).  THE WITNESS: When a doctor reads a diagnostic report that they receive, they read it and understand what it says. I mean, that's why they order the test. So you send them the information, whichever one you want, and it's pretty clear that there's a partial data set. It doesn't take rocket science to see that.  BY MR. STEIN:  Q. Doctor, my question just called for a yes or no answer.  A. Then I don't understand the question.  Q. All right. If, as you say in your message, a document we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Now, in the preliminary report that you sent, as you described it, we've marked P5, you discuss allele dropout, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, I mentioned it in — it's mentioned in sample two.</li> <li>Q. Now, just so I'm clear, is it not anticipated that the clinic will proceed with IVF based on the form of report that is present and marked P5?  MR. HAMAD: Asked and answered, three questions ago.  THE WITNESS: This report is sent to them just like any laboratory report. They review it, they make a decision in the best interests of the patient, I hope, and I don't have any assumptions about which ones they're going to transfer. In fact, earlier this week a couple elected to take two embryos that were affected, so—BY MR. STEIN:</li> <li>Q. Aside from what the couples intend to do as a result of the decision, you are presuming when you send P5 that the fertility clinic will act on the content of the information contained in P5, are you not?</li> </ul>

### EXHIBIT 12

#### CURRICULUM VITAE

#### Charles M. Strom, M.D., Ph.D., F.A.A.P., F.A.C.M.G., H.C.L.D.

COLLEGE:

Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut

Department of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry,

B.S., June 1973, Cum Laude

GRADUATE SCHOOL:

University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois Department of

Biology, Ph.D., December 1977

PROFESSIONAL SCHOOL:

University of Chicago Pritzker School of Medicine,

Chicago, Illinois. M.D., June 1979 with Honors

RESIDENCY:

University of California Medical Center, San Diego,

California. Department of Pediatrics, July 1979 - June

1982

FELLOWSHIP:

University of California Medical Center, San Diego,

California. Pediatric Genetics and Metabolism, William L.

Nyhan, M.D., Ph.D., Chairman, 1981-1982

**BOARD CERTIFICATION:** 

American Board Pediatrics, 1985

American Board of Medical Genetics -

General, 1987

American Board of Medical Genetics -

Clinical Genetics, 1987

American Board of Medical Genetics, -Clinical Biochemical Genetics, 1987 American Board of Medical Genetics, -

Clinical Molecular Genetics, 1993 (Recertification, 2003)

American Board of Bioanalysis -

High Complexity Laboratory Director, 1995

LICENSURE:

Licensed Physician and Surgeon: Illinois

Licensed Physician and Surgeon: California

Certificate of Qualification, Laboratory Director New York State: Molecular Testing, Biochemical Testing, Maternal

Serum Screening

Laboratory Director: State of California, Molecular

Genetics

PREVIOUS APPOINTMENT:

Instructor, University of Chicago,

Department of Pediatrics, Chicago,

Illinois, July 1, 1982 - July 1, 1984 Assistant Professor, University of

Chicago, Department of Pediatrics, Committee of

Genetics, Committee of Developmental Biology and in the College, Chicago, Illinois, July 1, 1984 - May 1, 1988

APPOINTMENT:

Director, Medical Genetics and DNA Laboratory, Departments of Pediatrics

and Obstetrics and Gynecology Illinois Masonic Medical Center 836 W. Wellington, Chicago, Illinois

60657, (773) 296-7095 May, 1988 – October, 2000

Academic Appointment

Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and

Gynecology, Rush Medical College September, 1994 – October, 2000

Medical Director, Molecular Genetics and

Biochemical Genetics Laboratories Nichols Institute, Quest Diagnostics

33608 Ortega Highway

San Juan, Capistrano, CA 92675

949-728-4701

October 2000 - June 2002

CURRENT APPOINTMENT:

Medical Director, Genetic Testing Center

Nichols Institute, Quest Diagnostics

33608 Ortega Highway

San Juan, Capistrano, CA 92675

949-728-4701

June 2002 - present

**CURRENT ACADEMIC** 

POSITION:

As of November, 2000

Assistant Clinical Professor Department of Pediatrics

University of California, San Diego

**TEACHING EXPERIENCE:** 

Co-Instructor BioSci. 236, "Vertebrate Developmental Biology", University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, Spring 1979 Instructor, BioSci. 243 "Principles of Human Genetics", University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, Spring 1983, Autumn

1983 Autumn 1984 and currently

Instructor, Medical Genetics, University of Chicago, Illinois, Spring 1984-1988 Co-instructor with Dr. Aron Moscona, Biology of Vertebrate Development,

Winter 1984, 1985

DOCTORAL RESEARCH:

"Molecular Biology of Chick Cartilage Differentiation", Department of Biology, University of Chicago, Chicago,

Illinois

POSTDOCTORAL RESEARCH:

"Molecular Biology of Friend Erythroleukemia Erythroid Differentiation", Scripps Clinic and Research Institute, La Jolla, California, Dr. John Yu, Sponsor

AWARDS:

Alpha Omega Alpha National Medical Honor

Society, June 1979

John Van Prohoska Award for "Outstanding

Potential in Teaching, Research and Clinical Medicine", University of

Chicago, June 1979

Mosby Book Award for "Outstanding Performance as a Senior Medical

Student", University of Chicago, June 1979

Ross Award in Research for Pediatric
House Officer presented by the Western
Academy of Pediatric Research for

"Contribution to Research in Pediatrics",

Carmel, California February 1981

Sigma Xi, June 1984

Hartford Fellowship, 1984-1987 Schweppe Fellowship, 1984-1987 **GRANT SUPPORT:** 

Summer Research Training Grant, University of Chicago,

June 1973 - October 1973.

Medical Scientist Training Grant, National Institutes of

Health, January 1974-1979

Sprague Memorial Institutional Grant,

October 1982 and 1983

American Cancer Society Institutional Grant, October 1982

Children's Research Institutional Grant,

1982 and 1983

March of Dimes Basil O'Conner Research Grant,

September 1983 - August 1985;

\$72,000

John A. Hartford Fellowship, July 1984 - July 1987; \$105,000

Kennedy Mental Retardation Research Center.

Career Development Grant July 1984 - June 1987; \$96,000

Schweppe Fellowship

July 1984 - June 1987; \$45,000

NIH - R23 Award

July 1984 - June 1987; \$36,000/year March of Dimes Research Grant

April 1, 1986 - April 1, 1988; \$35,000/year

Genetics Screening Fund (Private Donations); \$46,000

MEMBERSHIP IN STATE COMMITTEES:

President, Genetics Task Force of Illinois

October 1985 - October 1986 Genetics Task Force of Illinois

Governor's Advisory Committee on Inherited Metabolic

Diseases, 1990 - 1998

MEMBERSHIP IN REGIONAL NETWORKS:

Steering Committee, Great Lakes Regional

Genetics Network 1985 - 1986

Chairman of Subcommittee of Quality

Assurance in Biochemical Genetics Testing of the Great Lakes Regional Genetics Network October 1985 - October

1986

Co-Chairman of Subcommittee for Quality Assurance in DNA Laboratories, 4/92-4/97 MEMBERSHIP IN

NATIONAL COMMITTEES:

American Society of Human Genetics

Committee on Social Policy, December, 1994 Subcommittee for Genetic Testing in Children,

December, 1994 – 1998

American College of Medical Genetics Cystic Fibrosis Screening Committee

March 2002 - present

MEMBERSHIP IN

SCIENTIFIC SOCIETIES:

American Society of Human Genetics Association of Molecular Pathology

MEMBERSHIP IN

CLINICAL SOCIETIES:

American Academy of Pediatrics American College of Medical Genetics

(Founding fellow)

MEMBERSHIP IN

MEDICAL SOCIETIES:

The Chicago Gynecological Society, January, 1995

HOSPITAL APPOINTMENT:

University California, Irvine Children's Hospital of San Diego

PATENT APPLICATIONS:

U.S. Patent Application No.: 11/554,293

Filing Date:

10/05/2006

Title: NUCLEIC ACID SIZE DETECTION METHOD

Inventor(s):

Huang et al.

(Capillary Southern Analysis, An automated high throughput method for population based carrier detection of Fragile X Syndrome)

U.S. Patent Application No.: 11/566,174

Filing Date:

12/1/2006

Title:

METHODS OF DETECTING TPMT MUTATIONS

Inventor(s):

Charles M. Strom et al.

INTERNAL AWARDS:

Aggressive Innovation, Quest Diagnostics, 2002 Medical Innovation, Quest Diagnostics, 2006

Patent Innovation Award, Quest Diagnostics, 2006 Patent Innovation Award, Quest Diagnostics, 2007

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

#### Articles, Chapters and Reviewed Letters

- 1. **Strom CM** and Dorfman A. Distribution of 5-bromodeoxyuridine and thymidine in the DNA of developing chick cartilage. *Pro. Natl Acad Sci.* USA 73, 1019-1023 (1976).
- 2. **Strom CM** and Dorfman A. Amplification of moderately repetitive DNA sequences during chick cartilage differentiation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* USA 73, 3428-3432 (1976).
- 3. Dorfman A, Ho P.-L, **Strom CM**, Vertel BM, and Upholt WB. The differentiation of cartilage. *Adv Pathobiol*. pp. 104-123 (1977).
- 4. Dorfman A, Strom CM, Upholt WB, Ho P-L, and Vertel BM. Studies on the differentiation of cartilage, *Uppsala J Med Sci.* 82, 108-109 (1977).
- 5. **Strom CM**. Molecular mechanisms in cartilage differentiation, *Doctoral Dissertation*, Department of Biology, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL (1977).
- 6. **Strom CM**, Moscona M, and Dorfman A. Amplification of DNA sequences during chick cartilage and neural retina differentiation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* USA 75, 4451-4454 (1978).
- 7. **Strom CM** and Upholt WB. Isolation and characterization of genomic clones corresponding to the human type II procollagen gene. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 12, 1025-1038 (1984).
- 8. **Strom CM** and Eng CE-L. Restriction fragment length polymorphism in achondroplastic dwarfism and thanatophoric dwarfism. *ICSU Short Reports* 1, 250-251 (1984).
- 9. Kashani IA, **Strom CM**, Utley JE, and Higgins, CB. Hypoplastic pulmonary arteries and aorta with genitourinary anomalies in 2 siblings. *Angiology* 35, 252-256 (1984).
- 10. **Strom CM**, Eddy RL, and Shows TB. Localization of human type II procollagen gene Col2Al to chromosome 12. *Som Cell & Mol Genetics* 10, No. 6, 651-655, No. 6 (1984).
- Wolff JA, **Strom CM**, Griswold W, Sweetman L, Kulovitch S, Prodonos C, and Nyhan WL. Proximal renal tubular acidosis in methylmalonic acidemia. *J Neurogenet* 2, 31-39 (1985).
- 12. Upholt WB, **Strom CM**, and Sandell L. Structure of the type II collagen gene. *Ann N.Y. Acad Sci.* 460, 130-141 1985.
- Eng CEL. and **Strom CM**. Analysis of three restriction fragment length polymorphisms in the human type II procollagen gene. *Am J Hum Genet*. 37, 719-732 (1985).

- 14. Eng CEL and **Strom CM**. A new syndrome of familial proportionate short stature, intrauterine growth retardation and recurrent locking of the fingers. *Am J Med Genet*, 26, 217-220 (1987).
- 15. **Strom CM**. A three allele polymorphism in the human Co12A1 gene, *Nuc Acid Res*, 16(18): 9077 (1988).
- 16. **Strom CM**. Molecular studies in achondroplasia using human Col2A1 Probes, *Basic Life Sci* 48:59-60 (1988).
- 17. Verlinsky Y, Pergament E, and **Strom C**, The preimplantation genetic diagnosis of genetic diseases, *J In Vitro Fert and Embryo Transf*, 7:1-5 (1990).
- 18. **Strom CM**, Verlinsky Y, Milayeva S, Evisikov S, Cieslak J, Lifchez A, Valle J, Moise J, Ginsberg N, Applebaum M, Preconception genetic diagnosis of cystic fibrosis, *Lancet*, 336(8710):306-307 (1990).
- 19. Verlinsky Y, Ginsberg N, Lifchez A, Valle J, Moise J, **Strom CM**, Analysis of the first polar body: Preconceptual genetic diagnosis, *Human Reproduction*, 5(7): 826-829 (1990).
- 20. Pergament E, Verlinsky Y, Ginsberg G, Fine B, **Strom C**, Current and future perspectives of fetal genetic diagnosis, *Current Perinatology*, V. II, ed by Manohar Rathi, Springer Verlag (1990).
- 21. **Strom CM**, Milayeva S, Verlinksy Y., Reliability of gender determination using PCR for single cells, *J In Vitro Fertilization and Embryo Transfer*, 8(4):225-229 (1991).
- 22. **Strom CM**, Enriquez G, Rechitsky S, Preimplantation genetic analysis using PCR, *Preimplantation Genetics*, (eds) Verlinsky Y. and Kuliev AM. pp. 131-138. Plenum Press (1991).
- 23. Rechitsky S, Enriquez G, **Strom CM**, DNA analysis of gametes and embryos: Analysis of delta-F508 cystic fibrosis mutation in single cells, *Preimplantation Genetics*, (eds) Verlinsky Y and Kuliev AM, pp. 305-312. Plenum Press (1991).
- Verlinsky Y, Rechitsky S, Evsikov S, White M, Cieslak J, Lifchez A, Valle J, Moise J, **Strom CM**, Preconception and preimplantation diagnosis for cystic fibrosis. *Prenatal Diagnosis*, 12:103-110 (1992).
- 25. **Strom CM**, Brusca RM, Pizzi WJ. A double blind, placebo controlled crossover study of Folinic Acid (Leucovorin) for the treatment of fragile X syndrome. *Am J Med Genet*. 44:676-682 (1992).

- 26. **Strom CM**. Genetics Update: Infertility due to a point mutation. *J of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics*. 9(2):89 (1992).
- 27. **Strom CM**. Sperm-Egg fusion proteins and male factor infertility. *J of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics*. 9(3):182-183 (1992).
- 28. Ginsberg NA, Applebaum M, Rabin SA, Caffarelli MA, Kuuspalu M, Daskel JL, Verlinsky Y, **Strom CM**, Barton JJ. Term birth after midtrimester hysterotomy and selective delivery of an acardiac twin. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 167:33-7 (1992).
- 29. Ginsberg NA, Applebaum M, Bozorgi N, Cadkin AV, Verlinsky Y, **Strom CM**: Decreasing risk of pregnancy loss following chorionic villi sampling: elimination of transabdominal CVS during the ninth week of pregnancy. *J Repro Med.* 37(10):853-856 (1992).
- 30. **Strom CM**: Preimplantation genetics-Two first steps. *J Assisted Reproduction and Genetics*. 9(5):422-423 (1992).
- 31. **Strom CM**, Ginsberg N, Applebaum M, Bozorgi N, White M, Caffarelli M, Verlinsky Y: Analyses of 95 first-trimester spontaneous abortions by chorionic villus sampling and karyotype. *J Assisted Reproduction and Genetics*. 9(5):458-461 (1992).
- 32. **Strom CM**, Rechitsky S. DNA analysis of polar bodies and preembryos. In: Verlinsky, Y., Kuliev, A.M. (eds.) Preimplantation diagnosis of genetic diseases: A new technique in assisted reproduction. pp. 69-92. Wiley-Liss, N.Y. (1992).
- Verlinsky Y, **Strom CM**. Preconception diagnosis of polar bodies. In: Edwards, RG (ed.) Preconception and Preimplantation Diagnosis of Human Genetic Disease. pp. 233-238. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993).
- 34. Strom CM. Cloning versus induced twinning: Ob Gyn News. 11:May 15, (1994).
- 35. **Strom CM**, Rechitsky S, Wolf G, Verlinsky Y. Reliability of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis of single cells for preimplantation genetic diagnosis. *J Assist Reprod Genet*, 11(2):55-62 (1994).
- Verlinsky Y, Handyside A, Grifo J, Munné S, Cohen J, Liebers I, Levinson G, Arnheim N, Hughes M, Delhanty J, Harper J, Mathews C, Kuliev A, Simpson, JL, Monk M, **Strom C**, Findlay I, Gore-Langton R, Lansendorf S, Braude P, Muggleton-Harris A, Lissens W, Ginsberg N, Jackson L, Giltin S, Fisher J, Readhead C, Wilton L, De Sutter P, Selva J, Ray P, Thornhill A, Kontogianni E, Johnson M. Preimplantation diagnosis of genetic and chromosomal disorders. *J Assisted Reproduction and Genetics*. 11(5):236-243 (1994).

- 37. Ginsberg NA, Zbaraz D, **Strom** C. Transabdominal embryoscopy for the detection of Carpenter Syndrome during the first trimester. *J Assisted Reproduction and Genetics*. 11(7):373-375 (1994).
- 38. **Strom CM**. More on DNA typing dispute. Letter to the Editor. *Nature*. 373: January 12, (1995).
- 39. **Strom CM**. Genetic testing in gynecologic practice. In: Bychkov V and Isaacs JH. (eds.) *Pathology in the Practice of Gynecology*. pp. 485-495. Mosby-Year Book, Inc., Missouri (1995).
- 40. Verlinsky Y, Morozov G, Gindilis V, **Strom CM**, Freidin M, Rechitsky S, Verlinsky O, Ivakhnenko V, Zdanovsky V, Kuliev A. Homeobox gene expression in human oocytes and preembryos. *Molec Repro Dev*, 41:127-132 (1995).
- Verlinsky Y, Cieslak J, Ivakhenko V, Wolf G, Kovalinskaya L, White M, Lifcehz A, Kaplan B, Moise J, Valle J, Ginsberg N, Strom C, Kuliev A. Pregnancies following preconception diagnosis of common aneuploidies by fluorescent in-situ hybridization. Human Reproduction, 10:1923-1927 (1995).
- 42. Verlinsky Y, Ginsberg N, Chmura M, Freidine M, White M, **Strom C**, Kuliev A. Crosshybridization of the chromosome 13/21 alpha satellite DNA probe to chromosome 22 in the prenatal screening of common chromosomal aneuploidies by FISH. *Prenatal Diagnosis*, 15:831-834 (1995).
- Verlinsky Y, Rechitsky S, Freidine M, Cieslak J, **Strom C**, Lifchez A. Birth of a healthy girl after preimplantation gender determination using a combination of polymerase chain reaction and fluorescent in situ hybridization analysis. *Ferl Steril*. 65:358-360 (1996).
- 44. Rechitsky S, Freidine M, Verlinsky Y, **Strom CM**. Allele dropout in sequential PCR and FISH analysis of single cells. *J Assisted Reproduction and Genetics*, 13:115-125 (1996).
- Verlinsky Y, Cieslak J, Freidine M, Ivakhenko V, Wolf G, Kovalinskaya L, White M, Lifchez A, Kaplan B, Moise J, Valle J, Ginsberg N, **Strom C**, Kuliev A. Polar body diagnosis of common aneuploidies by FISH. *J Assisted Reproduction and Genetics*, 13:157-162 (1996).
- Kuliev A, Kukharenko V, Morozov G, Freidine M, Rechitsky S, Verlinsky O, Ivakhenko V, **Strom C**, Verlinsky Y. Expression of homeobox-containing-genes in human preimplantation development and in embryos with chromosomal aneuploidies. *J Assisted Reproduction and Genetics*, 13:177-181 (1996).
- 47. **Strom CM**, Rechitsky S, Ginsberg N, Verlinsky O, Verlinsky Y. Prenatal paternity testing with deoxyribonucleic acid techniques. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*, 174(6): 1849-53 discussion 1853-4, June (1996)

- 48. **Strom CM**. Mosaicism and aneuploidy in human preembryos, *J Assisted Reproduction* and Genetics, 13: 592-593 (1996).
- 49. Ginsberg N, Cadkin A, **Strom CM**. Abnormal triple-test result plus normal ultrasonographic results equals amniocentesis? *Am J Obstet Gyneco,l* 175(4 Pt 1):1081-2 Oct (1996).
- Verlinsky, Cieslak J, Ivakhnenko V, Lifchez A, **Strom C**, Kuliev A. Birth of healthy children after preimplantation diagnosis of common aneuploidies by polar body fluorescent in situ hybridization analysis. Preimplantation Genetics Group. *Fertil Steril* 66(1):126-9 July (1996).
- 51. Strom CM. Life imitating art. Nature 386:432-433, (1997).
- Verlinsky Y, Munne S, Simpson JL, Kuliev A, Ao A, Ray P, Sermon K, Martin R, **Strom** C, Van Stairteghem A, Veiga A, Drury K, Wiliams S, Ginsberg N, Wilton L. Current status of preimplantation diagnosis. *J Assist Reprod Genet*, 14(2):72-75, Feb (1997).
- 53. Ginsberg NA, **Strom C**; Verlinsky Y. Management of a triplet gestation complicated by uterus didelphys. *Fetal Diagn Ther*; 12(1):59-60, Jan-Feb (1997).
- Verlinsky Y, Rechitsky L, Cieslak J, Ivakhenko V, Wolf G, Lifchez A, Kaplan,B, Moise J, Valle J, White M, Ginsberg N, **Strom C**, Kuliev A., Preimplantation diagnosis of single gene disorders by two-step oocyte analysis using first and second polar body. *Biochemical and Molecular Medicine*, 62:182-187 (1997).
- 55. Ginsberg NA, **Strom CM**, Cadkin A. Sex difference in crown-rump length in first trimester twins. *Reproductive Med*, 42:685-6 (1997).
- 56. Ghai V, Levine E, Strom C, Barton J. Clearing the air. Ob Gyn News, 11 (02/15/98).
- 57. Knoppers BM, **Strom C**, Clayton W, et al. ASHG statement: professional disclosure of familial genetic information. The American Society of Human Genetics Social Issues Subcommittee on Familial Disclosure, *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 62:474-483 (1998).
- 58. Ginsberg N, Cadkin CA, **Strom C**. Prenatal diagnosis of body stalk anomaly in the first trimester of pregnancy. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*, 10(6):419-20 (1997).
- Verlinsky Y, Ginsberg N, Chmura M, White M, **Strom C**, Kuliev A. Detection of translocations involving the Y-chromosome in prospective prenatal screening of common aneuploidies by FISH. *Prenatal Diagnosis* 18:390-2 (1998).

- 60. Kuliev A, Rechitsky S, Verlinsky O, Ivakhenko S, Evsikov G, Wolf M, Angastiniotis D, Geroghiou D, Kukharenko V, **Strom** C, Verlinksy Y. Preimplantation diagnosis of thalassemias. *J Assist Reprod Gen*, 15:219-225 (1998).
- 61. Rechitsky S, **Strom C**, Verlinsnky O, Amet T, Ivakhenko V, Kukharenko V, Kuliev A, Verlinsky Y. Allele dropout in polar bodies and blastomeres. *J Assist Reprod Gen*, 15:253-257 (1998).
- 62. Verlinksy Y, Cieslak J, Ivakhenko V, Evsikov S, Wolf G, White M, Lifchez A, Kaplan B, Moise J, Valle J, Ginsberg N, **Strom** C, Kuliev A. Preimplantation diagnosis of common aneuploidies by first- and second-Polar body FISH analysis. *J Assist Reprod Gen*, 15:285-289 (1998).
- 63. Morozov G, Verlinsky O, Rechitsky S, Kukharenko V, Goltsman E, Ivakhenko V, Gindilis V, **Strom C**, Kuliev A, and Verlinsky Y, Sequence analysis of libraries from individual human blastocyts, *J Assist Reprod Gen*, 15:338-343 (1998).
- 64. **Strom CM**, and Rechitsky L, Use of nested PCR to identify charred remains and minute amounts of blood. *J Forensic Sci*, 43 (3): 696-700 (1998).
- 65. **Strom CM**, Rechitsky S, Wolf G, Cieslak J, Kuliev A, Verlinsky Y. Preimplantation diagnosis of autosomal dominant retinitis pigmentosum using two simultaneous single cell assays for a point mutation in the rhodopsin gene. *Molecular Human Reproduction*, 4:351-355 (1988).
- 66. Levine EM, Strom CM, Ghai, V; Barton JJ. Intrapartum management relating to the risk of perinatal transmission of group B streptococcus. *Infectious Diseases in Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 6:25-29 (1998).
- 67. Verlinsky Y, Cieslak J, Ivakhnenko V, Evsikov S, Wolf G, White M, Lifchez A, Kaplan B, Moise J, Valle J, Ginsberg N, **Strom C**, Kuliev A. Prepregnancy genetic testing for age-related aneuploidies by polar body analysis, *Genetic Testing*, 1(4):231-5 (1998).
- 68. **Strom CM**, Ginsberg N, Rechitsky S, Cieslak J, Ivakhenko V, Wolf G, Lifchez A, Moise J, Valle J, Kaplan B, White M, Barton J, Kuliev A, Verlinsky Y. Three births following preimplantation diagnosis for cystic fibrosis using sequential first and second polar body analysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*, 178(6):1298-1306 (1998).
- 69. **Strom CM**, and Levine E. Chronic vaginal candidiasis responsive to biotin therapy in a carrier of biotinidase deficiency. *Obstet Gynecol*, 92:645-646 (1998).
- 70. Verlinsky Y, Cieslak J, Ivakhenko S, Wolf G, White M, Lifchez B, Kaplan B, Moise J, Valle J, Ginsberg N, **Strom C**, Kuliev, A. Prepregnancy genetic testing for age-related aneuploidies by polar body analysis, *Genetic Testing*, 1:231-235 (1998).

- 71. Verlinsky Y, Morozov G, Verlinsky O, Koukharenkho V, Rechitsky S, Goltsman E, Ivakhenko V, Gindilis V, **Strom CM**, Kuliev A, Isolation of cDNA libraries from individual human preimplantation embryos. *Mol Hum Reprod*, 4:571-5 (1998).
- 72. Verlinsky O, Rechtitsky S, Cieslak J, Ivakhenko V, **Strom** C, Kuliev A, Verlinksy Y. Preimplantation diagnosis of single gene disorders: Tsitol. *Genet*, 32:14-22 (1998).
- 73. **Strom CM**. Genetic justice: A lawyer's guide to the science of DNA testing. *Illinois Bar Journal*, 87: 18-26 (1999).
- 74. Levine E, Ghai V, **Strom CM**. Is pediatrician attendance at cesarean delivery necessary? *Obstet Gynecol*, 93:338-40 (1999).
- 75. Levine E, Ghai V, Barton JJ, **Strom CM**. Intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis increases the incidence of gram-negative neonatal sepsis. *Infectious Diseases in Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 7:210-3 (1999)
- 76. Verlinsky V, Kuliev A, Simpson J L, Gianaroli L, **Strom C**, Findlay I, Bahce M, Rechitsky S, Sermon K, Weier U, Harper J, Marquez P, C, Manor D, Fung J, Nordenskjold M, Ogilivie C, Ginsberg N, Sherlock J, Cram D, Wells D, Lavery S, Levran D, Veyver P, White M, Kuo H-C, Tsukerman G. Preimplantation diagnosis: an alternative to prenatal diagnosis and chromosomal disorders. *J Assist Reprod and Genetics* 16(4):161-163 (1999).
- 77. Ginsberg NA, Cadkin A, **Strom C**, Bauer-Marsh E, Verlinsky Y. Prenatal diagnosis of 46.XX male fetuses. *Am J Ostet Gynecol*, 180(4):1006-7 (1999).
- 78. Morozof G, Verlinsky O, Rechitsky S, Ivakhenko V, Goltsman E, Gindilis V, **Strom C**, Kuliev A, Verlinsky Y, Construction and sequence analysis of substraction complimentary DNA libraries from human preimplantation embryos. *J Assist Reprod Genet*, 16(4), 212-5 (1999).
- 79. Kuliev A, Rechitsky S, Verlinsky O, Ivakhenko V, Cieslak J, Evsikov S, Wolf G, Angastiniotis M, Kalakoutis G, **Strom C**, Verlinsky Y, Birth of healthy children after preimplantation genetic analysis of thalassemias. *J Assist Reprod Genet*, 16(4):207-11 (1999).
- 80. Rechitsky S, **Strom C**, Verlinsky O, Amet T, Ivakhenko V, Kukharenko V, Kuliev A, Verlinsky Y. Accuracy of perimplantation genetic analysis of single gene disorders by polar body analysis of oocytes. *J Assist Reprod. Genet*, 16(4), 192-8 (1999).
- 81. Verlinsky Y, Cieslak J, Ivakhenko V, Evsikov S, Wolf G, White M, Lifchez A, Kaplan B, Moise J, Valle J, Ginsberg N, **Strom C**, Kuliev A. Prevention of age-related aneuploidies by polar body testing of oocytes. *J Assist Reprod Genet*, 16(4),165-9 (1999).

- 82. Verlinsky Y, Rechtisky O, Verlinsky O, Ivachnenko V, Lifchez A, Kaplan B, Moise J, Valle J, Borkowski J, Nefedova J, Goltsman E, **Strom C**, Kuliev A Prepregnancy testing for single-gene disorders by polar body analysis. *Genetic Testing*, 3(2): 185-190 (1999).
- 83. **Strom C**, Levin R, Strom S, Masciangelo C, Kuliev A, Verlinsky Y. Neonatal outcome of preimplantation genetic diagnosis by polar body removal: The girst 109 babies. *Pediatrics*, 106(4):650-653 (2000).
- 84. **Strom C**, Strom S., Levine, E., Ginsberg, N., Barton, J., Verlinsky, Y., Obstetrical Outcomes in 102 Pregnancies Following Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis, *Am J Obstet Gynecol*, 182:1629-1632, (2000).
- 85. **Strom C.**, Polymerase chain reaction in the forensic analysis of DNA. *Encyclopedia of Analytical Chemistry*, Ed by RA Myers, Wiley Press, 2000, Volume 5, pp 4512-4517
- 86. Verlinsky Y, Rechitsky S, Verlinsky O, **Strom C**, Kuliev A., Preimplantation diagnosis for ornithine transcarbamylase deficiency. Reprod Biomed Online. 2000;1(2):45-47.
- 87. Verlinsky Y, Rechitsky S, Schoolcraft W, **Strom C**, Kuliev A. Designer babies are they a reality yet? Case report: simultaneous preimplantation genetic diagnosis for Fanconi anaemia and HLA typing for cord blood transplantation. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2000;1(2):31.
- 88. Verlinsky Y, Rechitsky S, Verlinsky O, **Strom C**, Kuliev A. Preimplantation testing for phenylketonuria. *Fertil Steril*. 2001, Aug;76(2):346\_9.
- 89. Kuliev A, Rechitsky S, Verlinsky O, **Strom C**, Verlinsky Y., Preembryonic diagnosis for sickle cell disease. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*. 2001 Oct 22;183 Suppl 1:S19\_22.
- 90. Verlinsky Y, Cieslak J, Ivakhnenko V, Evsikov S, Wolf G, White M, Lifchez A, Kaplan B, Moise J, Valle J, Ginsberg N, **Strom C**, Kuliev A. Chromosomal abnormalities in the first and second polar body. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*. 2001 Oct 22;183 Suppl 1:47-49.
- 91. Rechitsky S, Verlinsky O, Amet T, Rechitsky M, Kouliev T, **Strom C**, Verlinsky Y. Reliability of preimplantation diagnosis for single gene disorders. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*. 2001 Oct 22;183 Suppl 1:65-68.
- 92. Verlinsky, Y., Rechistsky, S., Schoolcroft, W., **Strom, C.**, Kuliev, A., Preimplantation Diagnosis for Fanconi Anemia combined with HLA matching, *JAMA*, 285:3130-3133. 2001.

- 93. Verlinsky Y, Rechitsky S, Verlinsky O, Xu K, Schattman G, Masciangelo C, Ginberg N, **Strom C**, Rosenwaks Z, Kuliev A., Preimplantation diagnosis for p53 tumour suppressor gene mutations. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2001;2(2):102-105.
- 94. Verlinsky Y, Rechitsky S, Verlinsky O, Kenigsberg D, Moshella J, Ivakhnenko V, Masciangelo C, **Strom** C, Kuliev A, Polar body based preimplantation diagnosis for X linked disorders. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2002 Jan-Feb;4(1):38-42.
- 95. **Strom,** C., Preimplantation genetic diagnosis of Mendelian disorders. in *Gynecology and Obstetrics*, ED by Sciarra, J., Lippincott Williams & Wilkins Publishers; ISBN: 0781725364; (April 2002)
- 96. **Strom,** C., Huang, D., Buller, A., Redman, J, Crossley, B., Anderson, B., Entwistle, T., Sun, W., Cystic Fibrosis Screening Using the College Panel: Platform Comparison and Lessons Learned from the First 20,000 Samples, *Genetics in Medicine*, 4:289-296 (2002).
- 97. **Strom, C.**, Huang, S., Chen, C., Buller, A., Peng, M., Quan, F., Redman, J., Sun, W., Extensive sequencing of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane regulator gene: Assay validation and unexpected benefits of developing a comprehensive test. *Genet Med*, 5:9-14 (2003).
- 98. Strom, C., Molecular Diagnosis, The Outlook is Bullish, *Advance*, 12:26-28, 2003.
- 99. Kaufman H, **Strom CM**, From Peapods to Laboratory Medicine: Molecular Diagnostics of Inheritable Diseases. *Med Lab Obs* 2003;35(7):30-40
- 100. Palomaki GE, Knight GJ, Roberson MM, Cunningham GC, Lee JE, **Strom CM**, Pandian R, Second Trimester Maternal Urine Invasive Trophoblast Antigen (ITA, hyperglycosylated hCG) as a Marker for Down Syndrome: Preliminary Results of an Observational Study on Fresh Samples, *Clin Chem*, 2004 Jan;50(1): 182-9.
- 101. French C, Li C, Strom C, Sun W, Detection of Factor V Leiden mutation by a modified photo cross-linking oligonucleotide hybridization assay, *Clin Chem* 2004 Feb;50(2):296-305.
- 102. Buller A, Olson S, Redman J, **Strom CM**. Frequency of the Cystic Fibrosis 3199del6 Mutation in Individuals heterozygous for I158T. *Genet Med* 2004,6:108-109.
- 103. **Strom CM**, Current challenges in cystic fibrosis screening. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2004,128(3):366.

- 104. Strom CM, Clark DD, Hantash FM, Maul D, Anderson B, Traul D, Huang D, Rea L, Tubman CC, Garcia R, P. Hess P, Wang H, Crossley B, Woodruff E, Chen R, Killeen M, Sun W Beer J, Avens H, Polisky B, Jenison RD. Direct visualization of Cystic Fibrosis Transmembrane Regulator Mutations in the clinical laboratory setting, *Clin Chem* 2004,50:836-845.
- 105. **Strom CM**, Crossley B, Redman JB, Quan F, Buller A, McGinniss, M, Sun W. Molecular Screening for Diseases Frequent in Ashkenazi Jews: Lessons Learned from More than 100,000 Tests Performed in a Commercial Laboratory. *Genet Med*, 2004;6:136-140.
- 106. **Strom CM**, Crossley B, Redman, JB, Buller A, Quan F, Peng M, McGinniss M, Sun W. Cystic Fibrosis Screening: Lessons Learned from the first 320,000 Patients. *Genet Med*, 2004,6:145-152.
- 107. **Strom CM,** Population based carrier screening and prenatal diagnosis, *Med Lab Observer*, 2004,36:12-17.
- 108. Monaghan KG, Highsmith W, Amos J, Pratt VM, Roa B, Friez M, Pike-Buchanan LL, Buyse IM, J.B. Redman, **Strom CM**, Young AL, Sun W. Genotype-phenotype correlation and frequency of the 3199del6 cystic fibrosis mutation among I148T carriers: results from a collaborative study. *Genet Med*, 2004,6:421-425.
- 109. Watson MS, Cutting GR, Desnick RJ, Driscoll DA, Klinger K, Mennuti M, Palomaki G, Popovich BW, Pratt VM, Rohlfs EM, **Strom CM**, Richards S, Witt DR, Grody W. Cystic Fibrosis population carrier screening: 2004 Revision of the American College of Medical Genetics Mutation Panel. *Genet Med*, 2004,6:387-391.
- Huang D, Chen C, Sun W, **Strom CM**, Bender RA. High Throughput Gene Sequencing Assay Development for Hereditary Nonpolyposis Colon Cancer. *Clinical Colorectal Ca*, 2004,4:275-279.
- 111. **Strom CM,** Mutation Detection, Interpretation and Application in the Clinical Laboratory Setting, *Mut Res*, 2005,573:160-167.
- Brown NM, Pratt, V, Buller A, Pike-Buchanan L, Redman JB, Sun W, Chen R, Crossley B, McGinniss MJ, Quan F, **Strom CM**. Detection of 677CT/1298AC "Double Variant" Chromosomes: Implications for interpretation of *MTHFR* genotyping results, *Genet Med*, 2005,7:278-281.

- 113. Bernacki SH, Beck CJ, Stankovic AK, Williams LO, Amos J, Snow-Bailey K, Farkas DH, Friez MJ, Hantash FM, Matteson KJ, Monaghan KG, Muralidharan K, Pratt VM, Prior TM, Richie KL, Levin BC, Rohlfs EM, Schaefer FV, Shrimpton AE, Spector EB, Stolle CA, **Strom CM**, Thibodeau SN, Cole EC, Goodman BK, Stenzel T. Genetically Characterized Positive Control Cell Lines Derived From Residual Clinical Blood Samples, *Clin Chem*, 2005,51:2013-2024.
- 114. Strom CM, In Defense of Commercial Laboratories, Genet Med, 2005,7:590.
- 115. McGinniss MJ, Chen C, Redman JB, Buller A Quan F, Peng M, Giusti R, Hantash FM, Huang D, Sun W, **Strom CM**, Extensive Sequencing of the CFTR gene: Lessons learned from the first 157 patient samples. *Hum Genet*, 2005,28:1-8.
- 116. Huang D, Sun W, **Strom CM**, Sequence Variations in AGTR2 are Unlikely to be Associated with X-Linked Mental Retardation, *Am J Med Genet*, 2005,139A:243-4.
- 117. **Strom CM**, Janeczko RA, Anderson B, Redman J, Quan F, Buller A, McGinniss M, Sun, W. Technical Validation of a Multiplex Platform to Detect 30 Mutations in 8 Genetic Diseases Prevalent in Individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish Descent. *Genet Med*, 2005,7:633-639.
- 118. Hantash FM, Redman JB, McGinniss MJ, Sun W, **Strom CM**, Novel and recurrent rearrangements in the CFTR gene: Clinical and laboratory implications for Cystic Fibrosis Screening, *Hum Genet*, 2006,119:126-36.
- 119. Hantash FA, Milunsky A, Wang Z, Anderson B, Sun W, Anguiano A, **Strom CM**, A large deletion in the CFTR Gene in CBAVD, *Genet Med*, 2006, 8:93-95.
- 120. Hantash FM, Olson SC, Anderson B, Buller A, Chen R, Crossly B, Sun W, **Strom CM**, Rapid One-Step Carrier Detection Assay of Mucolipidosis IV Mutations in the Ashkenazi Jewish Population, *J Mol Diag*, 2006, 8:282-287.
- 121. Sun W, Anderson BP, Redman, JB, Milunski A, Buller AM, McGinniss MJ, Quan F, Huang SD, Hantash F, Anguiano AL, Strom CM, The CFTR 5T Variant has a Low Penetrance in Females That is Partially Attributable to its Haplotype. Genet Med, 2006, 8:339-345.
- 122. **Strom CM**, Janeszco R, Quan F, Wang S-B, Buller A, McGinniss M, Sun W, Technical Validation of a Luminex Based Multiplex Assay for 40 Mutations in the Cystic Fibrosis Transmembrane Regulator Protein. *J Mol Diag*, 2006, 8:371-375.
- 123. **Strom CM**, Strom S, Redman J, Sun W, Prenatal Diagnosis for Primary Congenital Glaucoma (Bupthalmous). *Prenat Diag*, 2006, 26:877.

- 124. Strom CM, Power Golf, Sports Illustrated, 2006, Sept 25: 12.
- 125. Lee T-S, Potts SJ, McGinniss M, **Strom C**, Multiple property tolerance analysis for the evaluation of missense mutations. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics*, 2006, 2:345-356.
- 126. **Strom CM**, Crossley B, Redman JB, Buller A, Quan, F, Peng M, McGinnis M, Fenwick, RG Jr, Sun W, Ph.D. Molecular Testing for Fragile X Syndrome: Lessons learned from 119,232 Tests Performed in a Clinical Laboratory. *Genet Med* 2007, 9:46-51.
- 127. **Strom, CM,** Huang, D, Li, Yuanyin, Hantash, F, Rooke, J, Potts, SJ, Sun, W. Development of a novel, accurate, high-throughput technique suitable for population based carrier screening for Fragile X Syndrome. *Genet Med* 2007, 9:199-207.
- 128. Hantash FM, Redman JB, Goos, D, Kammeshcmidt A, McGinniss M, Sun W, **Strom CM**. Characterization of a Recurrent Novel Large Duplication in the Cystic Fibrosis Transmembrane Regulator Gene, *J Mol Diag*, 2007,9:556-560.
- 129. McGinniss MJ, Chen R, Pratt VM, Buller A, Quan F, **Strom CM**, Sun W, Crossley B. Development of a web-based query tool for quality assurance of clinical molecular genetic test results, *J Mol Diag*, 2007, 9:95-98.
- 130. **Strom CM**, For the Good of the Patient: Academic and Commercial Genetic Testing Laboratories: Complementary if not Complimentary, *Personalized Medicine*, 2007,4:489-495.
- 131. Chan P, Anguiano A, Hensley K, Keo N, Liu Y, Sarno R, Strom CM, Owen R, Clinical array comparative genomic hybridization, a new paradigm. *Expert Opinion in Medical Diagnostics*, 2008, 2:449-459.
- 132. Mikula M, Buller A, Olson S, Sun, W, **Strom CM.** Prevalence of known mutations in the Familial Mediterranean Fever gene (MEFV) in various carrier screened populations. *Genetic Med*, 2008, 10:349-352.
- 133. Sanders HR, Qu KZ, Sferruzza AD, Strom CM, Bender RA, A Sensitive and Specific Method for Detection of Mutations in Exons 19 and 21 of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) gene in the plasma of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients, Cancer Therapy, 2008,6:793-804.
- 134. Hantash FM, Rebuyon A, Peng M, Redman J, Sun W, **Strom CM**, Apparent homozygosity of a novel frame shift mutation in the CFTR gene due to a novel frame shift mutation, *J Molec Diag*, 2009, 11:253-256.

#### **BOOKS**

- 1. Strom CM. Have a Healthy Baby, Prentice Hall, N.Y. 1988.
- 2. **Strom CM**. Heredity and Ability, How Genetics Affects Your Child and What You Can Do About It. Insight Books, N.Y., 1990.

#### Cases that Dr. Strom has Testified In

In all cases qualified as expert in Genetics and DNA testing.

People v. Rudding, Cook County, IL. June, 1997

People v Buss, May, 1996 - Will County, IL

People v. Johnson, 1994 - Kane County, IL

Johnson v. Johnson, 1993 - Cook County, IL

People v. Birch, 1993 - DuPage County, IL

People v. Johnson, 1993 - McHenry County, IL

People v. Spivey, 1993 - Champaign County, IL

People v. Fleming, 1991 - Cook County, IL

People v. Jones, 1991 - Lake County, IL

People v. Tindall, 1990 - DuPage County, IL

CMS Revised 12/28/08